

**Protection of Traditional Knowledge in
Genetic Resources: A Case Study of India**

A Dissertation Submitted

To

Sikkim University



In Partial Fulfilment of the Requirement for the

Degree of Master of Philosophy

By

Mahendra Thapa

Department of Law

School of Social Sciences

February 2017

DECLARATION

Date:

I declare that the dissertation entitled “**Protection of Traditional Knowledge in Genetic Resources: A Case Study of India**” submitted to Sikkim University for the award of the degree of **Masters of Philosophy in Law** is my original work. This dissertation has not been submitted for any other degree of this University or any other university.

Mahendra Thapa

M.Phil Scholar

Roll No: 15MPLW03

Registration No:15/M.Phil/LAW/05

Department of Law

Sikkim University

Tadong-737102

We recommend that this dissertation is placed before the examiners for evaluations.

Name of the Head of Department

Name of the Supervisor

Prof.Imtiaz Gulam Ahmed

(Head) Department of Law

Sikkim University

Mr. Veer Mayank

Assistant Professor

Department of Law
Sikkim University

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the dissertation entitled “**Protection of Traditional Knowledge in Genetic Resources: A Case Study of India**” submitted to the Sikkim University in partial fulfilment for the requirement of the degree of **Masters of Philosophy in Law** embodies the results of the research work carried out by **Mr. Mahendra Thapa** under my guidance and supervision.

Supervisor:

Mr. Veer Mayank
Assistant Professor
Department of Law
Sikkim University
Tadong- 737102

Place: _____

Date: _____

PLAGIARISM CHECK CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that plagiarism check has been carried out for the following M.Phil dissertation with the help of *Urkund* software and the result is within the permissible limit decided by the University.

Protection of Traditional Knowledge in Genetic Resources: A Case Study of India

Submitted by **Mr. Mahendra Thapa** under the supervision of
Mr. Veer Mayank, Assistant Professor, Department of Law,
School of Social Sciences, Sikkim University,
Gangtok-737102, India

Signature of the Candidate

Countersigned by the Supervisor

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to acknowledge my deepest gratitude to **Shri Veer Mayank**, who undertook to act as my dissertation supervisor, despite of his other academic and professional commitments. His wisdom, knowledge and commitment to the highest standard inspired and motivate me. I would also like to give my special thanks to our Head of Department **Prof. Imtiaz Gulam Ahmed** Department of Law Sikkim University, for appreciating my potential, ability, desire and giving me the opportunity to work, and sharing his more insights into the subject matter.

My sincere thanks to all the faculty members of the Department of Law, Sikkim University and Sikkim Central Library staff.

My special thanks to my friends Subhojit Bhai Pallav Ram Bhujel, Bendangyanger, Menuka Di, Amar Bro, Tanmoy Bro, Prithiraj Bro and Sujata Pradhan for guiding and sharing their knowledge and wisdom.

The dissertation would not have been completed without my parents, who supported me encouraged and believe in me. I am very much thankful to my Mom and Dad, friends and family who supported me in financial and subject matter to complete this dissertation.

I would be falling in my duty if I do not extent to thanks to UGC for providing me the finance for undertaking this research project.

And finally our Lord Shiva the creator of the universe, who always bless me in my personal, professional, educational and spiritual life. Who enlighten me to be a true human being Jai Sambhu.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Certificates	ii-iii
Acknowledgement	iv
Table of Contents	v-vii
Abbreviations	viii-ix
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1-21
1.1 Statement of Problem and Scope of Study	8
1.2 Review of Literature	9
1.2.1 History of Traditional Knowledge and the Interface of Traditional Knowledge with the Intellectual Property Rights Systems	9
1.2.2 Legal and Policy Framework for the Traditional Knowledge Protection	13
1.2.3 International Legal Instruments	15
1.2.4 Law Regulating for the Protection of Traditional Knowledge and Genetics Resources in India	16
1.3 Objectives of Research	17
1.4 Research Question	18
1.5 Hypothesis	18
1.6 Methodology	19
1.7 Chapterization	19
CHAPTER 2: Legal Framework for the Protection of Traditional Knowledge in India	22-67
2.2.0 Indian Intellectual Property Rights System	28
2.2.1 Patent Protection	29
2.2.2 Trade Secret Protection	33

2.2.3	Indian Biodiversity Act, 2002	36
2.2.4	Geographical Indications	37
2.2.5	The Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmer's Rights Act, 2001	39
2.3	Traditional Knowledge Digital Library	40
2.4	Forest and Wildlife Legislation	44
2.5	The Indian Forest Act, 1927	46
2.6	The Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980	50
2.7	The Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972	51
2.8	Draft National Environment Policy 2004	53
2.9	The Panchayats Extension to Scheduled Area Act, 1996	55
2.10	Customary Practices and Traditional Knowledge Protection	61
2.11	Customary Law, Traditional Knowledge and Genetics Resources Protection	64
CHAPTER 3: International Convention for the Protection of Traditional Knowledge and Genetics Resources		68-101
3.1	World Intellectual Property Organization Convention	70
3.2	Agreements on Traditional Knowledge and Genetics Resources	73
3.2.1	Berne Convention for the Literary and Artistic Works, 1886	73
3.2.2	Paris Convention for the Assurance of Industrial Property 1883	74
3.2.3	Global Convention for the Assurance of New Varieties of Plants, 1961, 1978 and 1991	77
3.2.4	Convention on Biological Diversity, 1992	79
3.2.5	The Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and Equitable Sharing of Benefits, 2010	87
3.2.6	Concession to the Trade Related Aspect of Intellectual Property Rights, 1994	95
3.3	Convention on Biological Diversity and Traditional Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights	95

3.4.1 Clashing Privileges of Intellectual Property Rights and Traditional Knowledge Holders	97
3.4.2 Advantage Sharing Course of Action	97
3.4.3 Earlier Information Consent	98
CHAPTER 4 Traditional Knowledge Protection Law in Brazil and Australia	102-120
CHAPTER 5: Conclusion and Suggestion	121-132
References	133-136

ABBREVIATION

ABS	Access and Benefit Sharing
ACTO	Amazon Cooperation Treaty Organization
AIR	All India Report
APEDA	Agriculture and Processed Food Production Export Development
ASEAN	Association of Southeast Asian Nation
AYUSH	Ayurveda, Yoga, Unani, Siddha and Homoeopathy
BD	Biological Diversity
BR	Biological Resources
BT	Bacillus Thuringiensis
CBD	Convention on Biological Diversity
CGEN	Genetic Heritage Management Council
CI	Conventional Information
COP	Conference of Parties
CISR	Council of Scientific and Industrial Research
DEWHA	Department of the Environment water, heritage and the art.
DHARA	Digital Helpline for Ayurveda Research Articles
EPBCR	Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Regulation
EPC	European Patent Convention
EPO	European Patent Office
ESG	Environment Support Group
EU	European Union
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
FPIC	Free Prior Informed Consent
FSO	Forest Settlement Officer
GATT	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade

GI	Geographical Indication
GOI	Government of India
IK	Indigenous Knowledge
ILC	International Law Commission
ILO	International Labour Organization
IP	Intellectual Property
IPR	Intellectual Property Rights
NBA	National Biodiversity Authority
NBRL	National Botanical Research Institute
NEP	National Environment Policy
NIF	National Innovations Foundation
PCT	Patent Cooperative Treaty
PESA	Panchayats Extension to Scheduled Areas
PM	Provisional Measure
PPVFR	Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmer Right
SBA	State Biodiversity Authority
ST	Scheduled Tribe
TK	Traditional Knowledge
TKDL	Traditional Knowledge Digital Library
TMK	Traditional Medicinal Knowledge
UK	United Kingdom
UN	United Nation
UNESCO	United Nation Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization
USDA	United State Departmental of Agriculture
USA	United State of America
WIPO	World Intellectual Property Organization
WHO	World Health Organization.
WOM	Western Orthodox Medicine

Chapter One

Introduction

Knowledge understood as old practices, closely associated with the socio-economic and cultural life processes of human civilization is considered as traditional knowledge¹. Traditional knowledge being a part of the inherited knowledge closely associated with the environment is therefore closely interlinked with the biodiversity² of the particular region and is in particular important for medicinal purposes. The value of the traditional knowledge on the use of plants, animal species and other materials for medicines is considered as the intellectual property of a community which utilizes such knowledge in its practices. Such traditional practices are evolved and refined over a period of time helping the communities in their resistance and cure against disease and pestilence. Spiritual knowledge is deeply intertwined with the physiological use of the plant and animals and contributes to the development of traditional knowledge. The traditional knowledge is based in tribal community, local communities and indigenous communities. Traditional knowledge also helps in the conservation and sustainable use of ecosystem.³ The protection of traditional knowledge is taken at International level for intellectual property right and trade under world trade organization (WTO).

¹ Traditional Knowledge, *available at*:
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Traditional_Knowledge (Last visited on June 17, 2016).

² Intellectual Property and Traditional Knowledge, *available at*:
https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/tk/920/wipo_pub_920.pdf (Last visited on June 17, 2016).

³ The Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, *Traditional Knowledge and the Convention on Biological Diversity* (SCBD, Canada, 2015)

The issues of protection of traditional knowledge is strongly being debated at international level, as many nations feel that they have rich sources of traditional knowledge especially genetic resources and folklore, but have been deprived of benefits from the exploitation of such traditional knowledge due to the present understanding of intellectual property rights regime. The traditional knowledge of the communities in developing countries is being exploited by the industrialized societies in the name of development⁴. Biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies exploit the traditional medicinal knowledge and genetic resources that are used and preserved in developing nations⁵.

The traditional knowledge can be expressed as a short form of traditional knowledge innovation and practices, which is owned and organized by the tribal communities, local communities and indigenous communities since time immemorial Traditional knowledge covers the whole range of knowledge such as traditional agricultural, biodiversity, medicinal knowledge and folklore⁶.

According to the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) 2015, traditional knowledge referred to the subset of the broader concept of heritage⁷. World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) and United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural

⁴ Ananda Mohan Bhattarai, *Protection of Himalayan Biodiversity International Environmental Law and a Regional Legal Framework* (SAGE Publications India Private Limited, New Delhi, 1st edn., 2010)

⁵ Ajeet Mathur, *Who Owns Traditional Knowledge?* (Indian Council for Research on International Economic Relations, New Delhi, 2003)

⁶ World Intellectual Property Organization, *Customary Law, Traditional Knowledge and Intellectual Property: An Outline of the Issues* (WIPO, Geneva, 2013).

⁷ World Intellectual Property Organization, *Intellectual Property, Traditional Knowledge and Genetic Resources: A Challenge to the International Intellectual Property* (WIPO, Geneva, 2001).

Organization(UNESCO) defines folklore as productions consisting of characteristic elements of the traditional artistic heritage developed and maintained by community or by individual reflecting the traditional artistic expectations of such a community⁸.

According to Dagne, Tradition knowledge in strict sense refers to the content or substance of knowledge⁹ and quoting Lewinski¹⁰ provides that it is integrated to or associated with the genetic resources that are frequently intertwined with traditional knowledge, where traditional knowledge is defined as the knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous and local communities embodying traditional knowledge¹¹.

According to World Intellectual Property Organization, traditional knowledge is closely associated with genetic resources through the utilization and conservation of the resource over generation and through their common use in modern scientific research because traditional knowledge often provides researcher with lead to isolate valuable active compounds with genetic resources¹².

⁸ Raju Narayana Swamy“Protection of Traditional Knowledge in the Present IPR Regime: A Mirage or a Reality” 80 *Indian Journal of Public Administration* 35-60 (2014).

⁹TeshagerWorkuDagne, *Intellectual Property, Traditional Knowledge and Biodiversity in the Global Economy: The Potential of Geographical Indications for Protecting Traditional Knowledge – Based Agricultural Products* (2012) (Unpublished Ph.D thesis, Dalhousie University).

¹⁰Silke Von Lewinski, *Indigenous Heritage and Intellectual Property: Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Folklore* (Kluwer Law International Publication Private Limited, Hague, 1stedn., 2003).

¹¹ R. Lakshmi Poorna, M, Mymoon, *et. al.* “Preservation and Protection of Traditional Knowledge – Diverse Documentation Initiatives Across the Globe” 107 *Current Science* 1240-1246 (2014).

¹² World Intellectual Property Organization, *Intellectual Property and Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Traditional Cultural Expressions* (WIPO, Geneva, 2015).

Mugabe¹³ defines Indigenous knowledge is that knowledge that is held by a people who identify themselves as indigenous of a place based on a combination of cultural distinctiveness and prior territorial occupancy relative to a more recently arrived population with its own distinct and subsequently dominant culture¹⁴. Traditional knowledge is totality of all knowledge and practices, whether explicit or implicit. This knowledge is established on past experiences and observation.

Hountondji is of the view that western society accumulates data about non-western societies and appropriates their knowledge system or traditional knowledge¹⁵.

As the human civilization progressed, the science and technology also developed. With the advancement of science and technology it posed a threat to the acknowledgement of tradition knowledge; values and practices. In old days knowledge was considered as power, but in present world, knowledge is taken as means of economic values. At International level, it is very difficult to recognize the traditional form of creativity and innovation and to protect this under present intellectual property system. Traditional knowledge as such in public domain is very difficult to protect under the present intellectual property rights system. Under the intellectual property rights protection is available to the individual creativity or to the companies for the limited period of time. Such protection is basically for the economic benefit to the author or creator. Different areas of creativity are covered by intellectual property rights and formulated many

¹³Intellectual Property Protection and Traditional Knowledge: An Exploration in International Policy Discourse, available at: http://www.wipo.int/tk/en/hr/penel_discussion/papers/pdf/mugabe.pdf(last visited on May 9, 2016).

¹⁴*Ibid.*

¹⁵*Ibid.*

legislative enactments, such as copyright law, patent law, designs law, trademarks law, geographical indications law, layout designs of integrated circuits. Recently new forms of intellectual property like undisclosed information, protection of plant varieties and farmer rights are taken under the present intellectual property system. The Traditional knowledge is also kept under the intellectual property rights. Till today no concrete legislation are enacted for the protection of the traditional knowledge which is in the hands of public domain and this raise the question as to how to protect the tribal culture, indigenous knowledge and traditional practices of local communities at the International level¹⁶. The issue is vague and different arguments and session talks are going on in the international level for the protection of traditional knowledge and folk culture.

Developing countries have rich sources of traditions and folklore, their culture and identity is in endangered due to technology and scientific advancement in developed countries. Due to over exploitation of resources and negligence of traditional ethics and cultural ethics, they seek for the protection and sustainable use of resources. In the year 2000, World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) members established an Intergovernmental Committee on intellectual property, genetic resources, traditional knowledge and folklore¹⁷. The committee has taken a various steps for the protection of traditional knowledge and folklore in the international level. Thus, in 2009 all the members of World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) agree to develop an International legal framework for the protection of traditional knowledge and genetic

¹⁶ The Center for International Environmental Law, *The Gap between Indigenous People's Demands and WIPO's Framework on Traditional Knowledge* (CIEL, Geneva, 2007).

¹⁷ The WIPO intergovernmental Committee on Intellectual Property and Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Floklore. available at: www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_tk_2.pdf (last visited on 07/02/2017).

resources¹⁸. All the signatory member of World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) ratify and formulate their own national legislation for the protection of the new varieties of intellectual property and also enact provisions to protect the commercial misappropriation of such knowledge. Traditional knowledge is closely related to the countries biodiversity, their traditional practices of medicine from plant. Protection of traditional knowledge is mainly for the sharing benefits with the creators and holders. The question of identifying and protecting traditional knowledge and the value of such knowledge in the present scientific competitive world is a debatable issue. The protection of traditional knowledge is basically for, the economic uplift and faster growth of tribal societies, indigenous communities and local communities¹⁹

The measure taken into account for the protection of traditional knowledge in the international level, are Trade Related aspect of Intellectual Property (TRIP) agreement to the Convention on Biological Diversity Act (1992). The primary goal is to recognize the traditional values from the time immemorial to the present world. Traditional knowledge is a collective knowledge and it is regulated by the group of people not by the individual. Present intellectual property rights regulate the traditional knowledge but it is not feasible or limits the wider scope. Though intellectual property rights system made effort to provide protection but it cannot provide the wider protection to the traditional knowledge.

¹⁸ International Chamber of Commerce, *Comments on WIPO Gap Analysis on Traditional Knowledge* (ICC, Paris, 2008).

¹⁹ Francesco Mauro, Preston D. Hardison, "Traditional Knowledge of Indigenous and Local Communities: International Debate and Policy Initiatives" 10 *Ecological Applications* 1263-1269 (1999).

The history of evolution of traditional knowledge can be traced back to after the World War II and then continuing with the recognition of indigenous people knowledge and practices. Charter of the United Nations, 1945 played an important role by emphasizing the need of recognize human rights and sovereignty of every man kind. The present intellectual property protection systems have their genesis in the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) which was initiated in 1946. The provisions under several agreements are being implemented by the member of the World Trade Organization (WTO), the sole administrative body responsible for all aspects of implementation, monitoring and resolution of disputes between the member countries. The General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), Trade-Related of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPs), World Trade Organization (WTO), Convention on Biodiversity (CBD), and climate change play an important role for the protection of traditional knowledge and genetic resources. The GATT signed in 1994 with primary emphasis on world trade and economic growth has several agreements affecting on traditional knowledge and genetic resources²⁰. The main objective of GATT is to increase global trade and market access and remove or reduce restrictive barriers including tariffs. The World Trade Organization (WTO) members covers three important areas, like market access, domestic support and export subsidies. The Convention on Biodiversity (CBD) also deals with the matter concerning traditional knowledge and genetic resources. . The most important component of GATT as far as knowledge and innovation is based on industrial segments and traditional knowledge is concerned in the TRIPS agreement. The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) was signed at the Earth Summit 1992 in Rio de Janerio,

²⁰ M.D. Nair, "GATT, TRIPS, WTO and CBD – Relevance to Agriculture" 16 *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights* 176-182 (2011).

Brazil by the majority of members of World Trade Organization (WTO). The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) facilitates access to genetic resources provided through multilateral system and benefit sharing from commercial use of these resources and their product. The intellectual property systems currently are in vogue and stipulated under traditional knowledge and practices. International agencies including World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) and various national governmental and international non-governmental organizations have been working on developing a fair and equitable system to protect traditional knowledge, indigenous medicinal plant. A *sui generis* form of legislation is being proposed by WIPO and some of the members of WTO but nothing fruitful has emerged so far, the various treaties does not mention explicit protection to the traditional knowledge and traditional cultural expressions.

1.1.Statement of problem and Scope of the Study

The state of development of legislation on traditional knowledge in India is in a mosaic form to say the least. India has attempted to enact legislations to provide protection to the creators, consolidators and preservers of traditional knowledge, however such legislation is defective in the fashion that it presents a patchwork of legislations which doesn't provides a holistic understanding and protection to traditional knowledge. This problem of lack of a comprehensive framework for protection of traditional knowledge is acquiring dimensions which are increasingly causing concern in the present era of technological advancement.

To study the above problem it is necessary to understand what steps have been initiated at global level to address the problem. This study therefore shall seek to identify the issues present in the protection of traditional knowledge in India. It shall further seek to investigate the mechanisms evolved at a global level for the protection of traditional knowledge and genetic resources and their scope of applicability to India in the context of the problems of protection. The study shall focus on the discussions and dialogues at the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) to analyze and explore the route that should be followed for the protection of traditional knowledge and genetic resources in India.

1.2. Review of Literature

The review of literature for this study has been done on three major themes, first the theme is on the history of traditional knowledge and the interface of traditional knowledge with intellectual property rights system. Second theme is the legal protection available for the traditional knowledge on international as well as national level. Lastly on the basis of existing literature on proposed themes it tries to find out the research gap.

1.2.1. History of Traditional Knowledge and the Interface of traditional Knowledge with the Intellectual Property Rights System

1. Mathur, Ajeet (2003), "*Who Owns Traditional Knowledge?*" The protection of traditional knowledge is one of the major issues addressed in the World Trade Organization (WTO) negotiation. The questions signify importance because traditional knowledge consists of information in the public domain as well as trade secrets, novelty thresholds of patent

laws of countries differ greatly where the pharmaceutical industry is strongest and patentability under Trade Related Aspect of Intellectual Property (TRIPS) does not require prior informed consent of countries or communities from where organic and informational resources are obtained.

2. Nair M D (2011), *“TRIPS, WTO and IPR: Protection of Bio Resources and Traditional Knowledge”* The World Trade Organization (WTO) was set up in 1995 and TRIPS agreement with 153 members from across the countries has been the guardian of all matters. World Trade Organization is the important body which monitors and influences the working of global intellectual property rights and also for the protection of bio-resources and traditional knowledge.
3. Swamy, Raju Narayan (2014), *“Protection of Traditional Knowledge in the present IPR Regime: A Mirage or a reality- Intellectual Property Right (IPR) regime need to be fine turned in such a way that it strengthen the cultural identity of indigenous communities and give them greater stay in its management. This appeal for a comprehensive strategy with community, national, regional and international dimensions. The framework ensures the mechanism and sovereignty over biological resources nest with the local community and they receive adequate compensation when their resources are utilized by outsider.*
4. Poorna, Lakshmi R, M, Mymoon and A, Hariharan (2014), *“Preservation and Protection of Traditional Knowledge Diverse Documentation Initiatives across the Globe”* Traditional Knowledge is the knowledge that an indigenous community accumulates over generations of living creature. A part of this knowledge is recorded in local communities. In the light of the prevalent loss and threaten the future of traditional knowledge it is very

important to preserve it in a contemporary format that would be familiar to the future generation.

5. Reddy, G B (2000), "*Intellectual Property Rights and the Law*" In India there is no special law that deal with protection of traditional knowledge. However the other intellectual property laws also deals with traditional knowledge. The patent Act of 1970 as amended by the patent second amendment Act 2002 provides that the applicant must disclose the source and geographical origin of any biological resources. The geographical indications of goods (Registration and Protection) Act of 1999 also deals with the protection of traditional knowledge. This Act enables the authorized user who may also include the representatives of the traditional communities to register geographical indications. He further stated that India has enacted the biological diversity Act 2002, and provide equitable sharing of benefits and sustainable use of its components. This Act is meant for conservation of biological diversity its sustainable use and equitable sharing of the benefits. Other relevant legislation for the protection of traditional knowledge in farming is Plant Varieties and Farmers' Rights Act of 2001. The main objectives is to protect the farmer's traditional rights including the rights to save, use, share or sell his farm product and varieties. Further the existing laws are not adequate to deal with all aspects of traditional knowledge. He further suggests the only solution for better protection of traditional knowledge is to enact a Sui generic law to preserve and promote it for the benefit of future generation.
6. Khatri, B Ajay and Vaishali, G Choukhande (2012), "*Indigenous Knowledge Web Resources in India with Special Reference to Ayurvedic Resources*". The Indian government has also draft various provisions for safeguarding the natural resources and

the cultural and indigenous knowledge in medicinal and health care services. Ayurveda is one of them. He added that the demand of Ayurvedic form of treatment is very high in modern times compared to western medicine. Government of India established the department of AYUSH for the safeguarding the traditional form of knowledge based on Ayurveda. AYUSH, Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (TKDL) and Digital Helpline for Ayurveda Research Articles (DHARA) keep trying to find out the root of knowledge based on tradition.

7. Gupta Sudhansu (2005), in his book "*Intellectual Property Rights and Conservation of Forest Resources*" stated that the majority of the world population practices the tradition and knowledge of medicine from the plant resources. He added that the history of the particular region is also reflected by their traditional knowledge on medicine like Indian traditional medicine. Chinese traditional medicine, Arabic traditional medicine or African traditional medicines for their health care system, which is closely related to the social ethos and social- cultural based on the availability and the existing medicine plant in that region. His emphasis on the challenges to ensure equitable sharing of the benefit arising from such knowledge, to protect the intellectual property rights of the custodians, resources management and growth of traditional knowledge system and thus preventing the loss of traditional knowledge and biodiversity resources.
8. Deka Neelotpal (2014), "*Traditional Knowledge in North East India: Scope for a Sui generis Protection*" Traditional knowledge is a collectively owned property and is integral to the cultural or spiritual identity of the social group in which it operates and is preserved. Traditional knowledge in north east India along with In India there is no such specific legislation for protecting traditional knowledge.

1.2.2. Legal and policy framework for traditional knowledge protection

This section gives a bird's eye view of the prevailing international legal regime for the protection of plant genetic resources and traditional knowledge and intellectual property rights is spread over a range of international conventions like the convention on biological diversity, the world intellectual property organization, the agreement on trade related intellectual property of the world trade organization and the international treaty on plant genetic resources for food and agriculture²¹.

A review of the relevant international laws shows that there is lack of direct protection according to people's in the public domain. The TRIPS agreement provides flexibility to member countries to introduce Sui generis mechanism in protection of plant varieties but one can interpret it to include protection of traditional knowledge associated with these plant genetic resources also. The provisions on geographical indicatives can also provide a tool for protection of products derived from plant genetic resources and traditional knowledge. Traditional knowledge related to plant genetic resources does not usually fulfill the basic criteria of novelty, distinctness, uniformity and stability to qualify from patent protection.

1. Ahuja K. V (2013) "*Law Relating to Intellectual Property Rights*" highlighted the various international conventions on intellectual property rights. He emphasis on Convention on Biodiversity (1992), Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and Equitable

²¹International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, available at: <http://www.fao.org/plant-treaty/overview/en/>. (last visited 7/02/2016).

Sharing of Benefits (2010)²², International Convention for the protection of New Varieties of Plant in the year 1961, 1978 and 1991 respectively, and Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (1994). He further added that, this international convention and treaties provide for the protection and sustainable used of genetic resources and equitable benefit of shares. These treaties and convention deals with the protection of traditional knowledge at International level. Despite of these various convention and agreement it fails to provide protection on traditional knowledge and genetic resources.

Article 8(j) of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), its aims and objectives is to preserve, respect and maintain knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous and local communities²³. Before accessing to this knowledge prior approval of the holder of such knowledge must be taken into accounts. Article 8(j) also include the economic benefit and the equitable sharing of benefits arising out of utilization of such knowledge, innovations and practices ensuring the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity resources²⁴. Article 9(1) of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA) acknowledge the contribution made by the indigenous and local communities and farmers around the world for food and agricultural production from their traditional knowledge and resources²⁵.

²²The Nagoya Protocol on Access and Benefit-sharing, available at: <https://www.cbd.int/abs/>. (last visited, 07/02/2017).

²³ Article 8(j) of Nagoya protocol of 2010, available at: <https://www.cbd.int/abs/doc/protocol/nagoya-protocol-en.pdf>. (last visited, 07/02/2017)

²⁴ Ibid.

²⁵International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, available at: <http://www.fao.org/3/a-i0510e.pdf>. (last visited, 07/02/2017).

1.2.3. International Legal Instruments

2. United Nations Declarations on the Rights of Indigenous People (2007).
3. World Intellectual Property Organization draft provision on Traditional Cultural Expression/ Folklore and Traditional Knowledge (2006).
4. Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expression (2005).
5. UNESCO convention for the safeguarding of Intangible Cultural Heritage (2003).
6. Trade related aspect of Intellectual Property Rights (1994).
7. International Labor Organization convention 169 on Indigenous and Tribal People (1989).
8. Berne convention for the protection of Literary and Artistic Works (1979).
9. International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (1966).
10. International Covenant on Civil and Political (1966).
11. Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948).
12. Convention on Biological Diversity 1992.

The international legal instruments such as ITPGRFA and ILO convention 169 provide ray of hope as these recognize and safeguard the collective rights of the indigenous and local communities. But the agreements are not ratified by many countries and often not enforced as they do not get incorporated in the national laws of several member countries. Some of the international conventions are very specific as to the target group they address, for instance the indigenous or tribal communities. Traditional knowledge is specific to the bio-genetic resources irrespective of the status of the communities. Hence most international conventions that seem to provide protection to knowledge related to

biological or plant genetic resources should be extended to all local people informal knowledge and not to be restricted in their application to indigenous and ethnic communities.

1.2.4. Law regulating for the protection of Traditional Knowledge and Genetic Resources in India.

In India there is no such specific legislation for the protection of traditional knowledge and genetic resources. So far traditional knowledge is covered under the legal framework of the intellectual property system. The various intellectual property system deals with the traditional knowledge and genetic resources in India are:

1. The Patent Act 1970.
2. The Patent 1st Amendment Act 1999.
3. The Patent 2nd Amendment Act 2002.
4. The Geographical Indications of Goods (Registration and Protection) Act 1999.
5. The Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers Rights Act 2001.
6. The Biological Diversity Act 2002.
7. The Design Act 2000.

All the Acts for the protection of traditional knowledge and genetic resources deal with the access and benefit sharing system. PPVFR Act allows free access without prior informed consent to any genetic resources. National Innovations Foundation (NIF), an autonomous society established under the department of science and technology,

Government of India for recognizing, respecting and rewarding innovations and outstanding of traditional knowledge.

Following steps are taken for the intellectual property rights protection related to the domain of traditional knowledge are:

1. New Millennium Indian Technology Leadership initiative on drugs prospecting.
2. Bio prospecting during the 9th plan involving 13 institution by the Department of Biotechnology.
3. Program of NBRL Lucknow to establish a multimedia web based database on plant genetic resources and traditional knowledge.
4. Traditional knowledge digital library and Traditional knowledge resource classification.

1.3. Objectives of Research

2. Understand and analyze the threats to traditional knowledge that would require a legislative response to the issue.
3. Understand the current framework of national laws for protection of traditional knowledge and genetic resources and examine the role of Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (TKDL) in the protection of traditional knowledge.
4. Examine the recommendation of Intergovernmental Committee of World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) on Traditional Knowledge and Genetic Resources.
5. Examine and compare the laws of Australia and Brazil with India for the protection of traditional knowledge
6. Examine the role of international conventions in the protection of Traditional Knowledge (TK).

7. Formulate a tentative hypothesis for further research for approaching the problem.

1.4. Research Question

2. What are the threats to the protection of traditional knowledge in India?
3. How far the legislative framework in India is successful in protection of traditional knowledge and genetic resources. This question would necessarily as a corollary would present the areas where the present frameworks of Indian laws have failed.
4. What has been the role of Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (TKDL) in the protection of traditional knowledge and how does it compares with other patterns of defensive protection in and around the world.
5. Whether defensive protection or positive protection is more relevant for the protection of traditional knowledge.
6. What is the status of protection of traditional knowledge in different part of the world and what is the role played by protection laws in Brazil and Australia in protection of traditional knowledge.
7. How the concept of collective protection is being incorporated in the world intellectual property organization (WIPO) negotiations.
8. What could be the framework for a new national law for the protection of traditional knowledge in India?

1.5. Hypothesis

Traditional knowledge in India is inadequately protected because of the absence of the collective protection mechanism. The protection of traditional knowledge in genetic resources needs a serious glance at legislation.

1.6. Methodology

Methodology provides a proper direction for the purpose of attaining research goals. For the present study in view of the topic the methodology followed in the entire research work is the doctrinal method of research. This involves data collection from both primary as well as secondary sources. The primary sources are Rules, Regulations etc. and the secondary sources are Books, various laws, Convention, Treaties, legal dictionaries, Reports and Articles from Journal, Magazines and Newspapers etc. apart from these judicial decisions shall also be considered. For conducting my research I analysed the various Acts and Laws of India, Australia and Brazil. Australia and Brazil is the rich source of Biodiversity and Traditional Knowledge, basically I select the two countries from the purpose of the study.

1.7. Chapterisation

Chapter 1: Introduction

This chapter gives an overview of the nature of the study, rationale and the objectives of the intended study. The history of the evolution of traditional knowledge would be discussed. The chapter would also describe the social and economic importance of traditional knowledge for the communities and the threats to such traditional knowledge.

Chapter 2: Legal Framework for the Protection of Traditional Knowledge in India

This chapter will study the legal framework for the protection of traditional knowledge in India. It would in particular study the role of TKDL in the protection of traditional

knowledge. In addition the candidate in the chapter would try to understand whether defensive protection or positive protection would be more useful for the protection of traditional knowledge in the context of threats to exploitation of traditional knowledge in India

Chapter 3: International conventions for the protection of traditional knowledge and genetic resources

This chapter tries to look at the various international convention and treaties for the protection of traditional knowledge and genetic resources. Convention like CBD and PGR and its implementation for the protection of traditional knowledge and genetic resources by its signatories and the enactment of national laws for the protection of traditional knowledge and genetic resources. This chapter would also look at the negotiations that are progressing at WIPO for providing collective protection for the protection of traditional knowledge.

Chapter 4: Traditional Knowledge Protection Law in Brazil and Australia

The candidate would seek to study the laws for the protection of traditional knowledge in Brazil and Australia. The reason for selecting Brazil and Australia is that both countries have substantial indigenous populations and the approach of the legislators towards protection of traditional knowledge can be seen from both the developed and developing country viewpoint. The chapter would attempt to provide the laws of other countries in addition to that of Brazil and Australia in the context of the study of this dissertation.

Chapter 5: Conclusion

This chapter shall summarize the study and present the responses to the research question and would recommended measures for further studies in the area of research.

Chapter Two

Legal Framework for the Protection of Traditional Knowledge in India

In this chapter, a diligent conscientious effort has been made to classify and significantly analyze different existing legislations pertaining to the Genetic Resources (GR) and TK in India.

Knowledge can be construed as an accumulation of wealth in the hands of individuals over a period of time. In parlance to our modern contemporary global scenario, it may be described as a broad notion subject to public and commercial significance. Therefore, it has developed into an essential theme of relatively modern international and municipal laws.

Over a considerable period of time TK has evolved through generations of practice and innovation. It essentially revolves around primary fundamental rudiments such as natural resources encompassing environmentally holistic traditional scientific utilization of lands performing a vital role in the protection of traditional knowledge.

However, there is no universally accepted definition of TK, which varies with the society and the scholar researching it. “Nonetheless, they overlap in varied facets. Indigenous Knowledge (IK) or TK is the local knowledge that is unique to a given culture or society”.²⁶ There is scope for disparity with the international knowledge arrangement engineered by academic or research institutes and clandestine associations. It serves as a

²⁶Rajasekaran, B., D.M. Warren and S.C. Babu (1991) Indigenous natural-resource management systems for sustainable agricultural development – A global perspective *Journal of International Development* 3 (1): 1-15.

foundation for assessing agriculture, health care, food preparation, education and management of natural resources besides a multitude of other activities in rural societies at the grassroots.²⁷

Traditional Medicinal Knowledge (TMK), in colloquial usage Traditional Health Care Systems is an essence of significance around the world especially in developing countries. Such an understanding is associated to usage of particular native herbs for precise medicinal cures. Being a vital element of TK, it coexists with varied kinds of contemporary medicinal knowledge. Almost every arrangement of TK is distinct to its place of origin with impending prospects of international insinuation. In common parlance, TMK may be differentiated from Western Orthodox Medicine.

Convictions, developments, most profound sense of being, personality, culture, learning, old stories and other customary components are the key sympathy toward indigenous individuals around the world²⁸. These key components exemplify conventional way of life of 'indigenous groups'. With regards to biodiversity, these components are pertinent for protection/reasonable utilization of hereditary assets including information of home grown plants that are being lost at a disturbing rate. This has come to be an issue of International Concern. Notwithstanding this, numerous multinational enterprises have abused this information of indigenous individuals without or unseemly assent.

Such knowledge is being maltreated and appropriated by alteration. Today, Multi National Corporations (MNCs) are earning potential profits by trivial access to the

²⁷ Raju Narayana Swamy, *Protection of Traditional Knowledge in the present IPR Regime: A Mirage or A Reality*, Indian Journal of Public Administration, Vol LX, No. 1, 2014.

intrinsic IK base without sharing due compensation and other accrued benefits to the native holder(s). The sanctuary of TMK in India is unvaryingly far from the apparent factual state of affairs. The ingrained assurance exemplified within the ambit of Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) in India has not been able to recognize and protect TMK.

2.1. Importance for Protecting Traditional Knowledge

It is significance to secure Traditional Knowledge since it is under genuine risk today from the insensitive disregard obvious in national and worldwide strategy. A focal issue is that while learning made in research facilities is recognized as the property of the trailblazer that made in fields and backwoods is not perceived as the property of its makers. Such a circumstance is naturally unjustifiable and biased and calls for change; this examination extend goes for influencing such a change by building up a framework that would as a result ensure the TK of bio resources in light of a legitimate concern for neighbourhood groups.

The method of reasoning for insurance of TK stems from the distinctive implications given to the idea of security. Some comprehend this idea with regards to Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs), where assurance basically intends to bar use by outsiders.

Whilst others analyze security as a tool to safeguard TK against misappropriation which may defiantly disintegrate the lifestyle and culture of the social groups those fostered it.

Insurance in the last setting visualizes a more positive part in supporting TK-based groups, their occupations and societies. The fundamental contentions for conceding insurance to TK include:

- Equity consideration;
- Preservation concerns;
- The safeguarding of conventional practices and culture;
- The avoidance of apportionment by unapproved gatherings of segments of TK; and
 - Advancement of its utilization for developed.

As a rule, contemporary formative laws and strategies at the Global and national level have been diminishing the space of standard laws and rehearses and the use of the TK framework. This has not just dissolved the control that groups used to appreciate over bio resources, yet has likewise unfavourably influenced their basic leadership limit. This has multi-dimensional impacts that may not generally be measured in monetary terms. In spite of the fact that scholars and visionaries have been highlighting the significance of biodiversity to human survival and prosperity, a more extensive energy about TK has re-emerged just as of late. This more extensive cognizance has added to laws and arrangements and different endeavours at worldwide and national levels to preserve biodiversity by, in addition to other things, securing and advancing the TK framework.²⁹

Distinctive methodologies have been recommended in universal fora with respect to building frameworks to secure TK, one approach being to fortify and additionally create

²⁹KshitijiParashar, *The Importance of Traditional Knowledge: A National Treasure*, 2014.

existing TK assurance frameworks, in light of documentation of TK, creating systems and reinforcing the utilization of standard law. As per the Canadian Indigenous Peoples' Organization, it is usually accepted that "indigenous people groups have their own particular locally-particular frameworks of statute as for the order of various sorts of information, legitimate strategies for procuring and sharing learning and the rights and duties which append to having information, all of which are implanted interestingly in every culture and its dialect". A suspicion that there is a non-specific type of standard directions administering TK utilizes or scattering overlooks the complexities and differing qualities of conventional frameworks. There is another measurement of ensuring TK, which is with regards to IPRs. The civil argument concerning the insurance of TK through IPRs initiated when various cases including "bio piracy" constrained the consideration of the universal group. Bio piracy alludes to a circumstance where a claim made for patent security is either gotten from the TK framework or basically converted into current logical dialect. The instances of neem, turmeric and ayahuasca exemplified the issues that could emerge when patent assurance is allowed to innovations identifying with TK which is now in general society space. India's own encounters in testing the licenses on neem and turmeric ended up being biting with the nation spending broad measures of time, cash and exertion in their renouncement. The above cases delineated how troublesome and expensive it is for creating nations to screen and test licenses conceded on innovations comprising of, or created from, procured organic material and related TK. Halfway as a consequence of these notable cases, many creating nations, holders of TK and others are attempting in a huge number of fora to guarantee better security to TK, basically determined by two squeezing concerns:

- Worry about conceding of licenses or different IPRs covering TK to people other than those indigenous people groups or groups who have begun and honest to goodness control the TK.

- Worry that TK is being utilized without the approval of the indigenous people groups or groups who have created and authentically control it and without legitimate sharing of the advantages that collect from such utilize.

At the point when discussing the assurance of TK, there is requirement for some clarity on what is precisely looked to be passed on by the term 'insurance'. With regards to bio piracy, security is guarded in nature and essentially implies insurance from being pilfered. Security of TK in the IPR setting basically intends to bar the unapproved use by outsiders, which is cautious assurance. In any case, creating nations have distinctly felt the requirement for positive assurance to TK, whereby selective possession rights are allowed over TK and the protected innovation of the group that holds this learning is recognized to be theirs.

Worldwide IPR systems for securing TK could be comprehensively isolated into two sorts:

- Defensive measures against misappropriation of TK: Defensive system incorporates measures embraced in the law or by the administrative powers to forestall IPR cases to TK being allowed to unapproved people or associations. Measures TK exposure prerequisites, as recommended under TRIPs, and earlier educated assent, as proposed by the CBD, can be seen as components under cautious insurance.

Harmonization of national laws of various part nations to join the above measures is in various phases of advance. Notwithstanding these lawful endeavours, documentation of recorded learning to build up earlier craftsmanship constitute an essential measure of cautious assurance.³⁰

- Assertive insurance: Assertive assurance alludes to the procurement by the TK holder themselves of an IPR, for example, a patent or an option right gave in a sui generis framework, suggesting allowing selective/proprietorship rights over TK and ensuring the licensed innovation of the group that holds such learning. This would carry TK at standard with the learning/innovation made in logical research facilities, which are ensured through IPR instruments TK licenses or plant raisers' rights. Enlistment of agriculturists' harvest assortments under the Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers' Rights Act of India is a decent case of self-assured security. Giving IP assurance under Geographical Indication is another case of confident security.

2.2. Indian Intellectual Property Rights System

IPR's regime has been utilized for the purpose of granting varied access to knowledge and this kind of partitioning of access can play a major role in granting to the indigenous communities access to their knowledge. The Intellectual Property Rights System (IPRS) apply different approaches to ensure due protection of the TK of indigenous societies.

There are many methodologies in IPR administration to ensure TK of indigenous groups. These methodologies incorporate copyright, trademarks, modern plans, exchange names,

³⁰ Ghazala Javed, *Protection of Traditional Knowledge- Initiatives of India*, Ministry of AYUSH, Govt. of India.

topographical signs and licenses. India, as a member of the World Trade Organization (WTO), was under obligation to implement the TRIPS Agreement, requiring the Indian Intellectual Property laws to meet the minimum standards laid down in the TRIPS Agreement.

This led to the amendment of the Patents Act of 1970 and new enactments- the Biological Diversity Act, 2002, the Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers' Rights Act, 2001 and the Geographical Indication of Goods (Registration and Protection) Act, 1999, to fulfil the obligations under the CBD³¹. The Indian legal framework for traditional knowledge as a whole lacks but provides protection of plant varieties and knowledge of the Plant Breeder communities laid out in separate legal instruments: the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980, the Biological Diversity Act, 2002, Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers' Rights Act, 2001, Geographical Indications of Goods (Registration and Protection) Act, 1999. There are various legislation provided for the protection of Traditional knowledge in India.

2.2.1. Patent Protection

The history of patent law in India can be trace back to 1911 when the Indian Patents and designs Act was for the first time enacted under British rule. After independent, as a member of the WTO, India was under an obligation to implement the TRIPS Agreement, requiring the Indian Intellectual Property laws to meet the minimum standards laid down in the TRIPS Agreement. This led to the amendment of the Patents Act of 1970 which come into force in the year 1972. These Act amend and consolidate the law regulating

³¹Article 16 of the CBD

Patent in India. The Patent Act 1970 was amended by the Patent (Amendment) Act 2005, which provide product Patent extended to all fields of technology including food, drugs, chemicals and microorganisms. The provision enables grant of compulsory license was introduced by the Patent Amendment Act of 2005. This Amendment Act also provide provisions relating to pre-grant and post-grant. The invention relating to product or a process of any new, involving inventive step and capable of industrial application can be made available to Patent in India. Under Section 3 and 4 of the Indian Patent Act of 1970 provides the category of inventions which cannot be Patentable. In India patent application can be filled either alone or jointly, by inventor or his assignee. Though India being a signatory to the Paris Convention for the protection of industrial property of 1883 and the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) of 1970, a foreign can file an application for grant of Patent in India. A presentation of pre-grant opposition can be filed by any person under Section 11A of the Patent Act 1970 within six month from the date of publication of the application, as amended (the “Patent Act”) or before the grant of Patent. The ground on which the presentation can be filed are provided under Section 25(1) of the Patent Act. Any interested person can filed post-grant within twelve months from the date of publication of the grant of Patent in the official journal of the Patent office³².

Some of the grounds for filling pre and post-grant opposing for Patent in India are as follows:-

- a. Patent wrongfully obtained.
- b. Prior publication.

³² G.B. Reddy, *Intellectual Property Rights and the Law*, (Gogia Law Agency, Hyderabad, 10th edn., 2015).

- c. The invention was publically known or publically used in India before the priority date of that claim.
- d. The invention is obvious and does involve any inventive step.
- e. That the subject of any claim is not an invention within the meaning of this Act, is not Patentable under this Act.
- f. Insufficient disclosure of the invention or the method by which it is to be performed.
- g. That in the case of a Patent granted on convention application, the application for Patent was not made within twelve months from the date of the first publication for the protection for the invention made in a convention country or in India.
- h. That the complete specification does not disclose or wrongly mention the source and geographical origin of biological material used for the invention; and
- i. That the invention was anticipated having regard to the knowledge, oral; or otherwise, available within any local community in India or elsewhere³³.

In India the term of Patent is twelve year from the date of filing. This Act provides clauses for the infringement of Patent. It consists of the unauthorized making, importing, using, offering for sale or selling any patented invention within India.

Patent is employed for safeguarding methodological elucidations those involve universally innovative creative steps that are scientifically applicable.

Indian Patent system grants rights to the person who invents any new machine, process, article of manufacture or composition of matter, biological discoveries etc., and that fits

³³ Ibid.

to the criteria of above protection.³⁴ The patent holder has an exclusive right to restrict others from making, using, selling, or distributing the patented invention without permission.³⁵ Generally the term of protection offered by the Indian Patent Act, for a patented invention is 20 years from the date of filing of an application.³⁶ India amended its Patent Act, 1970 in 2002 and 2005 to meet its Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) obligations³⁷. In the context of patenting biotechnological inventions, 2002 amendment added section 3 (j) to the Indian Patent Act 1970³⁸. This section specified that plants and animals, and any part of a plant or animal (excluding micro-organisms, but including seeds) are not patentable. Likewise, plant varieties, species and essentially biological processes used for the production or propagation of plants and animals were also considered un- patentable.

The Patents (Amendment) Act, 2005 constitutes the third of a progression of corrections that was attempted to make the Patents Act of 1970 fit in with India's commitment under TRIPS by 2005. The progressions that have been made through the most recent correction, should be seen with regards to the unmistakable acknowledgment given by the state to the significance of securing indigenous information, and its endeavor to accomplish a adjust between TRIPS-CBD.

³⁴ Section 48 of Indian Patent Act 1970.as amended by act No.15 of 2005, available at: <http://www.wipo.int/edocs/lexdocs/laws/en/in/in065en.pdf>.(last visited 07/02/2017).

³⁵ Ibid

³⁶ Section 53 of Indian Patent Act 1970.as amended by act No.15 of 2005, available at: <http://www.wipo.int/edocs/lexdocs/laws/en/in/in065en.pdf>.(last visited 07/02/2017).

³⁷ Supra note 32.

³⁸ The Patent Act, 1970 Section 3(j),”plant and animals in whole or any part thereof other than micro-organisms but including seeds, varieties and species and essentially biological processes for production or propagation of plants and animals.”

2.2.2 Trade Secret Protection

According to North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) defines a trade secret as “ an information having commercial value, which is not in the public domain and for which reasonable steps have been taken to maintain its secrecy.” The Trade Related aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) lay down three essential conditions which are to be fulfilled by any information before it can be considered undisclosed information (trade secret), they are:-

- a. Such information must be secret.
- b. The information must have commercial value and
- c. The information must have reasonable steps by its owner to keep it secret³⁹.

The Uniform Trade Secrets Act, 1970 also provides for the definition of trade secrets, which means “Information, including a formula. Patent, compilation, program device, method, technique. Or process that derives independent value, actual or potential, from no being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use, and is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy”. The position of trade secrets seems to be neglected in India. There is no particular enactment or policy framework for the protection of trade secret. In India trade secret is govern under Intellectual Property Rights (IPR).

In India trade secret is define in various cases they are as follows:-

³⁹ShrikantKamat, *Trade Secrets Protection in India- A Law whose time has finally come*, 2015, available at: <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/trade-secrets-protection-india-law-whose-time-has-finally-kamat>. (last visited on October 23, 2016).

- a. American Express Bank Ltd. v. Ms. Priya Puri Delhi High Court⁴⁰, in this case defined trade secret as formulae, technical know-how or a peculiar mode or method of business adopted by an employer which is known to others.
- b. Micheal Heath Nathan Jhonson v. Subhash Chandra AndOrs⁴¹ and John Richard Brady And ors v. Chemical process equipment's p. Ltd. andAnr⁴². took note of the conventon of the counsels who referred to English decisions to define trade secret.
- c. Mr. Anil Gupta and Anr. V. Mr. KunalDasguptaand Ors.⁴³the Delhi High Court held that the concept developed and evolved by the plaintiff upon material which may be available for the use of any body but what make it confidential is the fact that the plaintiff has used his brain and thus produced a result in the shape of a concept.

The legislation which are having a connection with the trade secret can be summed up as:-

- a. Copyright Act, 1957(section 51, 55 and 63).
- b. The Design Act, 2000.
- c. The Information Technology Act,2000(section 65, 72)
- d. Indian Penal Code(section 408, 415)
- e. The Indian Contrast Act (section 27)
- f. The Competition Act, 2002(section 3)

⁴⁰ American Express Bank Ltd. Vs Ms. PriyaPuri (2006) III LLJ 540 Del.

⁴¹Micheal Heath Nathan Johnson vs Subhash Chandra and Ors.60 (1995) DLT 757.

⁴²John Richard Brady and Ors. V Chemical Process Equipments P. Ltd. and Anr. AIR 1987 Delhi 372.

⁴³Mr. Anil Gupta and Anr. V Mr. KunalDasgupta and Ors. 97 (2002)DLT 257.

- g. Civil procedure Code.
- h. Criminal Procedure Code.

A few creators propose the insurance of home grown prescription and plant based biotechnological items by the method for competitive advantages. While there is no exact enactment on competitive advantage in India, in regards to TK yet it is necessary that misuse of TK by open foundations, private enterprises and neighborhood groups is regulated.

Competitive advantage assurance is viewed as reasonable for setting a high limit for home grown meds and plant based information because of various attributes:

1. Data must be a mystery,
2. Ought to have business esteem because of its cryptic character,
3. There ought to be an effort to protect the date and reduce its access to individual.

The principle highlight is that, this sort of security does not require any administration inclusion or enlistment. The significant of traditional knowledge is that it is confined to small group of individual and thereby it is hidden from public view. However the secrecy is not absolute and the necessary information is therefore reveal where need to know basis arises.

2.2.3. Indian Biodiversity Act, 2002

Keeping in mind the end goal to perceive TMK, India established the Biological Diversity Act, 2002.⁴⁴ The Act covers issues like: assurance for natural assorted qualities and related information, supportable utilization of its segments, evenhanded advantage sharing emerging out of the natural assets; outsiders, non-inhabitant Indians, body corporate, affiliation and association either fused or not joined in India for getting to Indian Biodiversity.⁴⁵“This Act addresses the essential worries of access to hereditary assets, accumulation and usage of natural assets and related learning by remote people, foundations, and organizations to guarantee impartial sharing of advantages emerging out of these assets and information to the nation and the general population”.⁴⁶

The enactment accommodates the foundation of government administration structure including: National Biodiversity Authority (NBA) at the pinnacle level, State Biodiversity Board (SBA) at state level, and Biodiversity Management Committees (BMCs) at nearby group level.⁴⁷ NBA gifts endorsement for access to hereditary assets by outsiders, or non-inhabitants Indians, subject to conditions TK guaranteeing impartial sharing of advantages.⁴⁸ By goodness of segment 6 of the Indian Biodiversity Act, 2002, anyone looking for any sort of IPRs on research based upon a natural asset or learning got from India, needs to get earlier endorsement from NBA.⁴⁹ Segment 18 (iv) of the Act, stipulates that one of the elements of the NBA is to take measures to restrict the concede

⁴⁴ Indian Biological Diversity Act 2002, available at: http://www.wipo.int/wipolex/en/text.jsp?file_id=185798. (last visited 07/02/2017).

⁴⁵ Ibid.

⁴⁶ S.R.Myneni, *Law of Intellectual Property*, (Asian Law House, Hyderabad, &th edn.2014).

⁴⁷ Ibid.

⁴⁸ Ibid.

⁴⁹ Ibid.

of IPRs in any nation outside India on any natural asset acquired from India or learning related with such an organic asset.⁵⁰

2.2.4 Geographical Indications

Insurance of Geographical Indication has developed as a standout amongst the most issues in Intellectual Property Rights under the WTO's Agreement on Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights.⁵¹ As per TRIPS land signs signifies, "any sign that recognizes an item as starting from a specific place where a given quality, notoriety or different attributes of the item are fundamental inferable from its topographical birthplace". Land sign gives restrictive rights to a specific locales to utilize name for an item with specific qualities to their particular area. At the International level for the geological sign, TRIPS sets a base gauges to secure and sanction reasonable national enactments by the individual from World Trade Organization. In India the geological sign of merchandise (enrollment and assurance) Act was authorized in 1999, to secure topographical signs in India. On the premise of Geographical Indications of Goods (Registration and Protection) Act 1999, manage was set up in India known as Geographical Indication of Goods (Registration and Protection) Rules of 2002 was encircled. Act and Rules was encircled/sanctioned under the protected innovation laws in consistence with a commitments under TRIPS. The meaning of geological sign is given under area 2 (e) of the Indian Geographical Indications of Goods (Registration and

⁵⁰ Ibid.

⁵¹ ANNEX 1C AGREEMENT ON TRADE-RELATED ASPECTS OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS, available at: https://www.wto.org/english/docs_e/legal_e/27-trips.pdf. (last visited 07/02/2017).

Protection) Act of 1999,⁵² "topographical sign in connection to merchandise implies a sign which recognizes such products as farming merchandise, regular products or made products as beginning or made in the domain of a nation or a district or region in that region where a given quality, notoriety or other normal for such merchandise is basically owing to its land root and on the off chance that where such products are fabricated merchandise one of the exercises of either the generation or of handling or arrangement of the products concerned happens in such region, district or region by and large". Enrollment of geographical sign is not obligatory in India but rather segment 20 (1) of the GI Act gave that no individual might be qualified for establishment any procedure to counteract, or to recoup harms for the encroachment of an unregistered land sign. Geographical sign might be enlisted in regard of any or the greater part of the products which might be grouped by the Registrar. On the off chance that the geographical sign of products the proprietor and its approved clients inspire ideal to acquire encroachment. The enrollment of approved clients are given under segment. Area gives approval to the enlistment center to characterize the merchandise as per the worldwide arrangement of products with the end goal of enrollment of topographical sign.

India to protect TK has built up a system in which the topographical range to which the subject in question traditionally belongs is used to characteristically defined the subject as regards TK. India built up Geographical Indications of Goods (Registration and

⁵² Section 2(e), "geographical indication, in relation to goods, means an indication which identifies such goods as agricultural goods, natural goods or manufactured goods as originating, or manufactured in the territory, where a given quality, reputation or other characteristic of such goods are manufactured goods one of the activities of either the production or of processing or preparation of the goods concerned takes place in such territory, region or locality, as the case may be."

Protection) Act, 1999⁵³ with one of its goals to investigate the extent of GI security for TK and arrangement prerequisites in Indian Context.

GI alludes to sign that distinguishes farming, normal or made products beginning in a domain of a nation, or an area or region in that region, where a given quality, notoriety or different qualities of such merchandise is basically inferable from its topographical origin.⁵⁴Topographical Indications can be connected to unmistakable signs of TK that can be related to a land territory. GI laws have been utilized to make an arrangement that encourages comprehensive development in view of TK crosswise over geological districts of the nation.⁵⁵

2.2.5 The Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmer's Rights Act, 2001

The Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers' Rights Act, 2001 was endorsed to fulfill India's TRIPS duties under Art. 27.3(b), as per which India expected to offer affirmation to plant varieties through licenses or a sui generis structure or a mix of both, with India finally settling on the sui generis decision. The Act does not particularly address the issue of TK protection things being what they are and, in this way, it is essential to separate the specific import of the Act the extent that security of TK, contingent upon the rules of statutory clarification.⁵⁶

⁵³ Indian Geographical Indication of Goods (Registration and Protection) Act 1999. Available at http://www.wipo.int/wipolex/en/text.jsp?file_id=128105. (lastvisted 07/02/2017).

⁵⁴ Ibid.

⁵⁵ Ibid.

⁵⁶ V K Ahuja, *Law Relating to Intellectual Property Rights* (Lexis Nexis, Noida, 2ndedn. 2013).

2.3 Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (TKDL)⁵⁷

The thought of documentation of TMK was recognized as a method for giving due acknowledgment to TMK holders. TKDL is an Indian computerized information storehouse, particularly about therapeutic plants and details utilized as a part of Indian frameworks of pharmaceutical. It was begun in 2001, as joint effort between the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) and Department of Ayurveda, Yoga, Naturopathy, Unani, Siddha and Homeopathy (AYUSH), Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India.⁵⁸ Goal of the library is to ensure the old TMK of the nation from misuse through biopiracy and deceptive licenses, by recording it electronically and grouping it according to global patent order frameworks. Aside from that, the non-patent database likewise serves to encourage advanced research in light of TMK, as it rearranges access to this limitless learning, be it of customary cures, or practices.⁵⁹

Contextual investigations from India:-

Turmeric:- What it is: Turmeric (*Curcuma Longa*) a plant of ginger family yielding saffron – shaded rhizomes is multipurpose. It is used as specie for improving Indian cooking. It similarly has helpful, magnificence mind items and hues properties. Turmeric

⁵⁷ Traditinal Knowledge Digital Library, available at: <http://www.tkdl.res.in/tkdl/langdefault/common/Home.asp?GL=Eng>. (Last visited 07/02/2017).

⁵⁸ Ibid.

⁵⁹ Traditional Knowledge Digital Library, Ministry of AYUSH, available at www.ayush.gov.in/traditional-knowledge-digital-library. (latest visited September 23, 2016).

powder is a prestigious system to recover wounds, sprains, and flammable conditions, in this manner is a key fragment of Ayurvedic arrangement.⁶⁰

The Case: In 1995, US Patent Trademark Office (PTO) surrendered the University of Mississippi Medical Center a patent no. 5,401,504 on the usage of Turmeric for repairing wounds, stating it to be novel. They recognized in their application that turmeric has for a long while been used as a piece of India as ordinary answer for treatment of various sprains and ignitable conditions. Regardless they ensured that there was no investigation on the use of turmeric as a retouching expert for outside wounds.⁶¹

Monsanto BT Brinjal Controversy:- What it is: Monsanto is a country association, built up in 1901 in USA.⁶² It has an assistant association in India named as Monsanto India Limited. Bacillus Thuringiensis (BT) Brinjal, is a transgenic brinjal made by embeddings a quality cry1 Ac from the soil bacterium into Brinjal. This said to give the Brinjal plant resistance against frightening little animals like Brinjal Fruit and Shoot Borer and Fruit Borer.⁶³ BT Brinjal is "made by India's principle seeds association "Mahyco" in a joint exertion with American multinational Monsanto, who cases to upgrade yields and help in the cultivating fragment".

The Case: In 2010, Monsanto in relationship with Mahyco, developed the BT Brinjal which is generally called 'Genetically Modified Organism (HMO)' Brinjal. A monstrous

⁶⁰ Kaushal Nidhi (2012), TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE IN THE MANACLES OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY PROTECTION A Study of Indian Indigenous Communities Rights and Claims, A thesis submitted in conformity with the requirements for the degree of LL.M Graduate Department of the Faculty of Law University of Toronto, available at: https://tspace.library.utoronto.ca/bitstream/1807/33263/1/Kaushal_Nidhi_201211_LLM_thesis.pdf. (last visited 07/02/2017).

⁶¹ Ibid.

⁶² Ibid.

⁶³ Ibid.

open resistance was raised by agriculturists and scientist stressed over the negative impacts of Mayhco-Monsanto's BT Brinjal on livelihoods, human prosperity, the earth and close-by varieties. A prohibition on BT Brinjal was thusly executed.⁶⁴

In 2011, the National Biodiversity Authority (NBA) issued a legitimate movement against Mayhco-Monsanto and their associates for biopiracy.⁶⁵ NBA charges that Mayhco-Monsanto has been able to six to nine Indian collections of brinjal to develop their innately modified vegetable without before assent from the NBA or the huge state and neighborhood sheets. A protesting against Monsanto was halted by the Environment Support Group (ESG) with the Karnataka Biodiversity Board, "enacting government movement, guarantees, that Monsanto exchanged off India's sovereign control over its natural resources and moreover deny money related and social points of interest to the area amasses under the Access and Benefit Sharing Scheme". Nevertheless, a criminal arraignment is yet to start and will soon be begun in 2012.. India's conflict is over the commercialization of indigenous data and the encroachment of Biodiversity Act, 2002.⁶⁶ Likewise, it has been communicated that "it is a wrongdoing to "take" an indigenous gather (in this the brinjal i.e. eggplant) and use it to make a balanced adjustment without approval".⁶⁷ Besides, Indian agriculturists furthermore battle that "they developed the strains of eggplant created in India over periods and Monsanto has no benefit to come in and gather their own one of a kind outcome indigenous animal groups". Of course,

⁶⁴ Ibid

⁶⁵ Ibid.

⁶⁶ Ibid.

⁶⁷ Ibid.

Monsanto denies such a statement made by Indian Government. It declares that their standard needs are farmer, respectability, and general prosperity.⁶⁸

Observation: In August 2011, India winning concerning putting a momentary confinement on BT Brinjal., NBA in its basic judgment communicated that BT Brinjal is an occurrence of biopiracy and set diverse charges against the BT Brinjal's architects that joins Monsanto's India accessory Mahyco, and what's more Indian universities and research affiliations. It is a sensible occasion of Biopiracy of innate materials.⁶⁹ Besides, is a sensible occasion of theft of data or innate resources having a place with an area, gathering or country that is later ensured to be some person's else. The usage of such data or innate resources may be hampered or attempted to be foreseen by patent holder, however ridiculously profit by the patent. The case is still open and if India succeeded it will be the fundamental ever fought GMO case leaving a blemish on the world.⁷⁰

The above cases of biopiracy are illustrative of the way that India's TMK is in marvelous danger. The scourge of biopiracy is an assault on India's biodiversity and aggregate improvement exemplified in the TMK. Regardless, India is by all record by all account not the only country to be a setback of such assaulting of nature and related learning. There are various diverse cases existing outside India out-crying a comparable story of biopiracy being a disease. A bit of the acclaimed cases inside and outside of India include: Hoodia Catcus case, Ayahuasca case, pepper, harhar, bahera, amla, mustard, ginger, castor, Quinoa Case, Enola Beans case, Pilocarpus Jaborandi case, Tiki Uba. These cases include the insufficiency of the IPR system to secure TMK. If there were

⁶⁸ Ibid.

⁶⁹ Ibid.

⁷⁰ Ibid.

only a solitary or two cases of biopiracy, they could be ousted as bumbles however there are a couple cases which recommends that the issue is significant inside the system.

2.4 Forest and Wildlife Legislation

A legal examination of the Indian Forest Act, 1927, the Forest Conservation Act, 1980, and the Wild Life Security Act, 1972 demonstrates to us that these Acts are more worried with normal life and not TK, the objective will be to see whether they give the holders of TK access to the general resources, which is enormously vital for the nearness and change of TK. There can be two courses by which these Acts can guarantee, or contribute towards security of TK:

- a) By offering confirmation to the trademark resources.
- b) By ensuring access to the general resources by the holders of TK.

Headway of Forest Laws in India

By the center of the nineteenth century, with the utilization of woodlands transforming into a honest to goodness issue, the British Government began to take view of the way that boondocks in India were not unending. As necessities be, diverse officers were deputed from time to time to give insights with respect to woodlands locales and each one of them underscored the prerequisite for safeguarding and change. In 1856, Lord Dalhousie focused on the necessity for an unmistakable woods methodology. In any case, the speedy clarification behind this complement can TK be credited to the truth that adequate supplies of timber was required for the monster development of railroad lines that were being embraced. There was moreover an amazing enthusiasm for Indian Teak. In 1865, the essential Indian Forest Act was passed. It was changed in 1878, when an exhaustive Law, the Indian Forest Act VII, came into compel. The courses of action of

the Act developed a virtual state forcing plan of action over the boondocks in an authentic sense on one hand, besides, to set up, on the other, that the standard use of woods by the villagers was not a "right" yet rather an "advantage" that could be pulled back deliberately. In the period upto 1980s there were two essential plan declarations showing to offer course to the part of the lawmaking body in association with the substitute limits performed by timberlands. They were the game plan clarifications of 1894 and 1952. For all intents and purposes, it was the Timberland Act of 1927 that guided managerial exercises for a noteworthy part of the period. Assertion of central control and highlight with respect to forests as providers of timber also, present day rough materials is the steady thought running however these real clarifications of methodology. There is a view that, the 1894 course of action, regardless of the way that it began from a wilderness government, was more sensitive towards neighborhood interests. The piece of boondocks as fundamental on climatic and organic grounds was recognized and the significance of close-by customers was TK astute pointed out. Surprisingly it gave that no restriction should be put upon neighborhood demands, essentially with a particular true objective to extend state wage. On the other hand, in the National Forest Policy 1952, it was illuminated that area needs and interests and claim of gatherings around woods ranges should be subservient to the greater national interests. Woods were viewed as national assets. In 1976, through the 42nd Protected Amendment, "forest" was traded from a subject in the State list (seventh Timetable of the Constitution) to the Concurrent summary. It in this way re-emphasized the part of the Focal Government in the organization of timberlands. In context of the procedure with boondocks utilization, in 1980, the Forest Conservation Act was established. It TK insightful highlighted the Focal

Government's incorporation in picking land use. Assemble interests found emphasis directly through the introduction of the National Woods Policy 1988. While safeguarding of forests in the national interest remained an approach target, the complement moved to the bonafide requirements of the belittled individuals and gatherings who are liable to boondocks. Giving huge highlight on the organic piece of woodlands, it stipulates that the rights and concessions relating to woods convey of the tribal gathering and the other poor living inside and close timberlands must be totally secured. The nearby necessities of fuel wood, grub and minor timberland convey and advancement timber should be the foremost charge on boondocks make. It now remains to be seen whether the praiseworthy goals of the plan have discovered reflection through the fundamental finished result changes in formal law-the Indian Forest Act, its State varieties or the Forest Conservation Act.

2.5 The Indian Forest Act, 1927

When the Indian Forest Act of 1927 (which keeps on being the essential timberland enactment even today) was instituted, the expressed presumption for the presentation of woods laws and strategy was that the nearby groups were unequipped for logical administration, and that exclusive a prepared, halfway sorted out unit of officers could appropriately oversee woods. Be that as it may, such laws additionally guaranteed business misuse of the incomprehensible characteristic assets that India had and wiped out the nearby group 117 from having any control over the assets. It was provoked by the immense request of woodland create for modern use in Britain. The Forest Act of 1927 was established to solidify the current law identifying with timberlands, the travel of woodland create and obligation levied on timber and other backwoods deliver. The Go

about the way things are today, does not give any meaning of 'backwoods'. With the end goal of the Backwoods Conservation Act, 1980, the Supreme Court in TN Godavarman Thirumulkpad Versus Union of India has communicated the sentiment that "backwoods" must be caught on as indicated by its word reference meaning. This depiction covers all statutorily perceived backwoods, whether assigned as held, secured or generally with the end goal of the Timberland Conservation Act. The term 'woodland land' won't just incorporate "backwoods" as comprehended in the word reference sense, additionally any zone recorded as backwoods in the government record regardless of proprietorship. The Act accommodates different insurance measures for forestland. By and large it takes after the approach of limiting individuals' entrance to the timberland. Therefore, Section 3 engages the State Government to constitute any forestland or no man's land which is the property of the Government or over which the Government has restrictive rights, or to the entire or any part of the woods deliver of which the Government is entitled, as a Reserved Timberland. Segment 4 gives the technique to statement of a Reserve Forest. It requires the State Government to issue a notice announcing its choice to constitute a Hold Forest and indicating as almost as conceivable the circumstance and points of confinement of such arrive. Area 5 sets out that once a notice under Section 4 has been issued, no privilege can be procured in or over the land contained in such a notice, aside from by progression or under a concede or contract in composing made by or for the Government. The area additionally restricts any new clearings for development or for any other reason unless as per such guidelines as might be made by the State Government for this benefit. The joined impact of areas 6, 7, 8 and 9 is that in the event that one neglects to convey to the notice of the Forest Settlement Officer any privilege and relating claim over the

predetermined zone, his privilege should douse. At the end of the day, the weight of demonstrating his correct lies on the petitioner unless such right is now in Government record. The Indian Forest Act foresees 3 sorts of cases in backwoods proposed to be saved. Firstly, a woods inhabitant might lay claim of responsibility for. Besides, appropriate to pasture and woods deliver. What's more, thirdly, ideal regarding moving development? Eminently, the Forest Settlement Officer has no energy to present any privilege on the timberland inhabitant, which has not been agreeably set up. Be that as it may, he will undoubtedly express completely to the Government, his supposition and exhortation as to any practice which, however not agreeably turned out to be a current right, he may believe is prudent to endorse as a privilege or a concession in light of a legitimate concern for the general population. It is upto the Government then to choose whether such non-built up rights or concessions might be allowed in light of a legitimate concern for the general population or not. What is cleared out unaddressed is the way that while group rights or standard rights are themselves hard to demonstrate in the predominant legal framework, even the extension gave to the FSO would stay incapable on the off chance that it is left to the impulses of the officer. From the perspective of assurance of TK, the most critical question that such a arrangement can stance is - what are the rights over the characteristic assets that the holders of TK have. A people group may have been utilizing, rather, depending on the woodland for occupation since time immemorial. In any case, unless they have lawfully perceived rights over the woodland they can't attest them. It is improbable that tribal or timberland occupants will discover the names of their predecessors on any composed reports, which might be utilized to set up rights to the land, regardless of the possibility that they have possessed the woodland

for quite a long time. Ought to any individual at present utilizing woodland land or timberland items be given rights over the backwoods? Ought to the conceding of right be restricted to collective privileges of Schedule Tribes perceived under the Fifth and Sixth Schedule of the Constitution as unmistakable groups? Ought to rights be in light of reference to verifiable reports? How possible would that be for a group that is unaware of the present day training and lawful frameworks? The Act does not give answers to such inquiries. The main practice that has been perceived by the Act is the act of moving development, as a benefit or concession. Be that as it may, being a benefit and not a right, it is delighted in at the joy of the State Government, which can forbid such practice. Amid every one of the phases of request, the Forest Settlement Officer is required to pull out to all the influenced parties. This is in accordance with the standards of common equity. The Preeminent Court in *Harish Chandra Vs. Arrive Acquisition Officer* (AIR 1961 SC 1500) has held that however FSO mediating claims under the Act is not a court, yet the guideline, which is truly of a reasonable play and is pertinent to all tribunals performing legal on the other hand semi legal capacities, should likewise apply to him. The impact of assertion of Reserve Forest is with the end goal that even unapproved section to the zone turns into an offense culpable with imprisonment. Hence, without particular rights to get to, assertion of Reserve Forest totally squares access to the common assets.⁷¹

⁷¹ManaliSa, *Brief Nottes on the Forest Conservation Act of 1980*, available at: <http://www.publishyourarticles.net/knowledge-hub/environmental-studies/brief-notes-on-the-forest-conservation-act-of-1980>. (last visited 17 December, 2016)

2.6 The Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980

This Act does not in any case impact those arrangements of the Indian Forest Act that relate to the entrance of characteristic assets by the holders of IK. However Section 2⁷² of the Act sets out that no State Government can, aside from with the earlier endorsement of the Central Government, make a request that any held woods or any part thereof, should stop to be save. One essential part of the Forest Protection Act got highlighted in the Supreme Court Order for the situation T N Godavarman Thirumulkpad Vs. Union of India.⁷³ The Supreme Court communicated the conclusion that the Forest Preservation Act, 1980 was established with a view to check encourage deforestation which at last outcomes in environmental lopsidedness; and in this way, the arrangements made in that for the preservation of woodlands and for matters associated therewith, must apply to all woodlands, regardless of the way of possession or arrangement thereof. The court said that "backwoods" must be comprehended by word reference meaning. The term 'backwoods arrive', happening in Section 2 of the Act won't just incorporate "woodland" as caught on in the word reference sense, additionally any zone recorded as woods in the Government record regardless of the possession. Consequently, as indicated by this importance, any sort of non-woods movement in any woods will require earlier endorsement of the Central Government. The 120 perceptions of the Apex Court can be deduced as presenting assent to the State's impedance even with regards to backwoods, customarily claimed by groups, in the name of protection.

⁷² The Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980, Section 2. Restriction on the dereservation of forest or use of forest land for non-forest purpose.

⁷³ AIR 1997 SC1228.

2.7 The Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972

The prelude to the Act says that it accommodates the assurance of wild creatures, feathered creatures what's more, plants and for matters associated therewith or accidental thereto. It is fascinating to take note of that the Act is not constrained just to 'creatures', and incorporates plants too. Likewise the extent of the Act reaches out to matters that are associated or accidental to the essential goal of the insurance of natural life. Segment 2(37)⁷⁴ of the Act characterizes 'natural life' to incorporate any creature, honey bees, butterflies, shellfish, fish and moths, and amphibian or land vegetation which shape part of any natural surroundings. From this definition it can induced that the Act sees natural life as shaping part of a living space and goes for insurance in situ. Section IIIA of the Act, presented by the 1991 alteration, with a view to securing determined plants, plainly demonstrates that individuals from Scheduled Tribes can uninhibitedly pick, gather or have, in the region he dwells, any predefined plant or part or subordinate thereof for his true blue individual utilize. Accordingly, the presentation of this specific area authorize for the exercises of the Scheduled Tribes subordinate upon woods. Be that as it may if seen from the point of view of the assurance of IK, it offers ascend to certain questions like:

- (i) Why it is just the Scheduled Tribes whose communication with the timberland land is kept in affability? There may be other individuals who are not Scheduled Tribes but rather dependent upon the woodland.
- (ii) The holders of IK, for instance a vaid in a town rehearsing natural prescriptions, require not be an individual from a Scheduled Tribe. It is fundamental that he is most certainly

⁷⁴ The Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972, No.53 of 1972, (9th September, 1972), section 2(37), Wildlife, includes any animal, bees butterflies, crustacean, fish and moths; and aquatic or land vegetation which forms part of any habitst.

not restricted from gathering and testing upon wild herbs, if his learning base is to be shielded from termination because of non-utilization.

- (iii) Further, by what means can 'individual utilize' the characterized with regards to a vaid whose vocation is to cure individuals from different sicknesses? The above inquiries should be sufficiently tended to if this arrangement is to profit the IK holders. The Wildlife Protection Act depends on a comparable approach as the Indian Forest Act, that is, preservation by keeping without end individuals. It accommodates the making of Sanctuaries and National Parks wherein access to the general population is extremely limited. The affirmation of a 121 asylum or national stop is with the end goal that no individual can obliterate, endeavor or evacuate any untamed life, including woods deliver without the authorization from the Chief Wildlife Warden (CWW). The CWW can allow such an allow just when the State Government is fulfilled that such a demonstration is fundamental for the change and better administration of untamed life. A Sanctuary can be built up under areas 18, 26A, 38(1) and 66(3). For a territory of land or water, around India's drift to be informed as a haven under area 26A, there are 3 conditions to be satisfied: Firstly, warning under segment 18, announcing the aim and the limits of a specific range that is required to be made an asylum. The range ought to be of satisfactory biological, faunal, botanical, geomorphological, normal or zoological centrality, with the end goal of ensuring, proliferating or growing untamed life or its surroundings. Besides, the time of 2 months after announcement made by the gatherer for leaning toward claim and with respect to individuals' rights must slip by, and Thirdly, every one of the cases made in connection to any land must be discarded by the state govt. After these 3 conditions are satisfied, the state government is required to issue a

warning indicating the breaking points of the territory that would at long last be told as an asylum. If there should arise an occurrence of held timberlands and regional waters, this warning can be straightforwardly issued. A National Park can be set up under areas 35, 38(2) and 66(3). For a zone to be proclaimed under segment 35, a goal is pronounced by warning for a range, which is of environmental, faunal, botanical and geomorphological significance. This zone might be an existing haven as well. A National Park is informed under the accompanying 3 conditions: Firstly, when the time of favoring cases has slipped by. Besides, when all cases in connection to any land in the territory proposed to be a national stop is discarded by the state government. Thirdly, when all rights in regard of land, which is proposed to be incorporated in the national stop are vested in the administration. After these conditions are satisfied, the state government should issue a notice determining the points of confinement of the territory that is being announced as a National Park. As per segment 27(1) and 35(8) of the Wildlife Protection Act, in both Sanctuaries furthermore, National Parks, open passage is confined and as indicated by segment 29 and 35(6) of the Act, the pulverization of any natural life or environment is precluded. In principle, National Parks appreciate a higher level of assurance than Sanctuaries. For instance, as per segment 35(7) no touching of any animals is allowed in a National Park however as indicated by area 33(d) it is passable in a Sanctuary.⁷⁵

2.8 Draft National Environment Policy 2004

The draft National Environment Policy has various arrangements applicable to assurance of Indigenous Knowledge. In the first place, condition 5.2.3 of the strategy characterizes the term 'conventional information' (CI). TK is here characterized as the ethno-science

⁷⁵ The Wildlife (Protection) Act 1972: An appraisal, available at: www.legalserviceindia.com/article/wlife.htm. (last visited on 17 January, 2017)

learning controlled by nearby groups, identifying with employments of different indigenous plant and faunal assortments, incorporating into customary drug, sustenance, and so forth., and is possibly a critical method for opening the estimation of hereditary assorted qualities through decrease in hunt costs. The approach perceives TK as an important asset and proposes appropriation of a sui generis IPR framework for its security. The draft arrangement underlines empowering of the neighborhood groups, through this IPR framework to infer financial advantages by allowing the utilization of their ethno-science information. The arrangement target sets out the need to guarantee fair access to natural assets for all areas of society especially to the poor groups which are most reliant on these assets for their vocations. It likewise lays accentuation on giving space for support of underprivileged men and ladies in different procedures. Vocations of these defenseless groups are firmly connected to the natural assets and the TK developed from it. Consequently, satisfaction of this approach target would likewise guarantee insurance of TK when the powerless groups are guaranteed fair get to to ecological assets. The strategy mentions this vital objective fact that town lodge – water sources, touching grounds, nearby backwoods, fisheries, and so on., have been generally ensured by neighborhood groups from overexploitation through different standards, which may incorporate punishments for unsuitable conduct. These standards, may, be that as it may, have weakened subsequently of the procedure of improvement, including urbanization, and populace development coming about from sharp diminishments in mortality, additionally through state activities which may make conditions for the fortifying of individual over communitarian rights and in doing as such permit showcase strengths to press for change that has unfavorable ecological ramifications. Such access to the group

assets under debilitated standards would prompt to asset debasement and in result influence the jobs of the group. Security of TK is likewise inborn in a portion of the Principles communicated in the NEP 2004 which guarantee qualifications to individuals as a privilege to a sound and gainful life in concordance with nature. Individuals are qualified for a privilege to improvement and this for underprivileged, bio-assets subordinate groups infers access to assets; their privileges of self-assurance and the privilege to manage others' entrance to their insight. Under Principle of Equity, the NEP notices procedural and final product value where the previous identifies with reasonable tenets for distribution of qualifications and commitments what's more, the last identifies with reasonable results regarding appropriation of privileges and commitments. The NEP strengthens the principle of Public Trust and has in clear terms expressed that the State is not a flat out proprietor but rather just a trustee of all normal assets which are by nature implied for open utilize and delight, subject to sensible conditions important to ensure the genuine interests of an expansive number of individuals, or for matters of national interest.⁷⁶

2.9 The Panchayats Extension to Scheduled Areas Act, 1996

The Panchayats Extension to Scheduled Areas Act, authorized in 1996, accommodates augmentation of Part IX of the Constitution of India to the planned ranges.⁷⁷ The PESA has tried to encourage the foundation of a decentralized structure of administration, giving radical administration forces to the tribal group. The term 'Scheduled Areas' has

⁷⁶ Ashish Kothari, *Draft National Environment Policy 2004: A Critique*, Economic and Political Weekly vol. 39, No. 43, available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4415711> (last visited at 21 December, 2016)

⁷⁷ C.R Bijoy (2012), PANCHAYAT RAJ (EXTENSION TO SCHEDULED AREAS) ACT OF 1996: POLICY BRIEF, available at: <http://www.in.undp.org/content/dam/india/docs/UNDP-Policy-Brief-on-PESA.pdf>. (last visit 07/02/2017).

been characterized as "such ranges as the President may by arrange pronounce to be Scheduled Areas". Passage 6 of the Fifth Schedule of the Constitution recommends methodology for planning, rescheduling and adjustment of Planned Areas. Article 244 of the Indian constitution permits the legislature to aggregate a calendar (rundown) of zones of the nation possessed by Scheduled Tribes.⁷⁸ The 139 6th and Ninth Schedules of the constitution list the Scheduled Areas. The Panchayats Extension to Scheduled Areas Act applies to the Scheduled territories of the States of Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Gujarat, Himachal Pradesh, Jharkhand, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Orissa and Rajasthan.⁷⁹ All of these States were required to establish or revise their particular State laws versus Panchayats in order to adjust with the PESA. A time of one year was given to every one of these States.⁸⁰ As of now said some time recently, a standout amongst the most imperative elements of PESA is that it recognizes the ability of the Gram Sabha, the formal indication of a town group, to defend and protect the conventions and traditions of the general population, their social character, group assets and the standard method of debate resolutions.⁸¹ Before we take a gander at the arrangements of PESA, one must comprehend the distinction between Gram Sabha and Gram Panchayat. "Gram Sabha" or a town gathering implies a body comprising of the considerable number of people enrolled in the constituent moves identifying with a town involved inside the territory of Panchayat at the town level.⁸² "Panchayat" implies an foundation of self-government constituted under article 243B, for the country ranges. PESA, in clear words, sets out that any State Legislature won't institute any law that is conflicting with the components of

⁷⁸ Ibid.

⁷⁹ Ibid.

⁸⁰ Ibid.

⁸¹ Ibid.

⁸² Ibid.

nearby administration as perceived and enrolled in the Act. Since the Act is started on the guideline of strengthening of Panchayats and Gram Sabha, it charges an obligation on the States to guarantee that their enactments on Panchayats are in consonance with the standard law, social, religious and administration rehearses of group assets.⁸³ Along these lines, PESA, by enabling the tribal groups to oversee their own particular undertakings, can be seen as an enactment which could encourage their administration of the natural assets in a way which is defensive of both the assets and the related learning.⁸⁴

The enactments are still in an exceptionally preparatory stage as far as execution in India. The foundations, (for example, the Protection of Plant Variety and Farmers' Authority or the Biodiversity Authority) that are given the command to actualize the laws are still in the procedure of being built up. Broadly, the three Acts fall under the obligation of various services. Service of Commerce and Industry handles the licenses related issues, Ministry of Farming handles the plant assortment issues and the Ministry of Environment and Backwoods handles the biodiversity issues. Henceforth when choices are taken at the national level, it is likely that contention of purview will emerge as there is an evident absence of coordination between the different laws. The absence of coordination between the different Acts planned to secure conventional information can be credited both to national and worldwide variables.⁸⁵ While the Plant Variety Act was established as a reaction to the advancements in TRIPS, the Natural Diversity Act was authorized because of India's commitments under the CBD. In spite of the fact that the two settlements convey same weight in lawful terms, there is an absence of coordination between the two.

⁸³ Ibid.

⁸⁴ www.drishtiiias.com/upsc-exam-gs-resources-panchayat-Extension-to-Scheduled-Areas-Act-1996. (vist 23june16)

⁸⁵ Supra 77.

In addition, no component has been advanced yet to determine issues emerging out of an instance of disagreement between the two. While the push to address the issue of insurance of conventional information has prompted to the institution of the three Acts, the endeavors likewise are a reflection to rethink property rights for customary information holders. While the three Acts declare India's power over its organic assets and related learning, wrangles on the part of the state in deciding access to organic assets and advantage sharing thereof are still on. There are those who favor adjusting to the current IPR administration to guarantee assurance of customary learning, and in this way NIF model of advantage sharing from one viewpoint. On the other there are those like Vandana Shiva and P.V. Satheesh who are against the possibility of an IPR assurance of customary information, since however it may guarantee the property privileges of the state over its assets, it doesn't guarantee the sovereign privileges of conventional information holders on their assets.⁸⁶ These arrangements would guarantee anticipation of bio-robbery in India and impartial sharing of benefits. Be that as it may, this won't keep people from looking for licenses on customary information furthermore utilizing customary learning without earlier educated assent and advantage partaking in different nations. This is shown by the Kani situation when Nutri Science, an American organization enrolled a trademark on Jeevani, the medication created with Indian customary information gave by the Kanis. As arrangements of Article 8(j) of CBD are liable to national enactment, India is of the see that securing benefits emerging out of the utilization of customary information identified with biodiversity past national fringes requires acknowledgment of terms of advantages sharing despite the fact that a worldwide instrument. Keeping in mind the end goal to guarantee that national level

⁸⁶ Supra 77.

frameworks for ensuring conventional learning and advantage sharing are successfully actualized for utilize of customary information outside the nation, global acknowledgment ought to be given to such national level frameworks. The onus of advantage sharing must likewise be shared by the client nation to make an empowering domain and certainty through administrative measures in order to guarantee consistence of earlier educated assent stipulations, for guaranteeing impartial sharing of advantages as envisioned in the CBD. While the push to address the issue of assurance of conventional information has prompted to the authorization of the three Acts, the endeavors likewise are a reflection to rethink property rights for customary information holders.⁸⁷

In nations, where custom and learning are intertwined, the requirement for assurance of Traditional Knowledge is being perceived generally. India, one such center point of conventional information, confronts probably the most genuine dangers as far as manhandle of the same. Without an enactment, insurance of conventional information turns out to be generally troublesome. In any case, In India, the State of Kerala has stepped forward, by proposing the draft enactment to secure customary information in the state.⁸⁸

A noteworthy issue for Kerala identifies with the insurance of Ayurveda. The standards of this restorative science don't simply exist in books or brains, but at the same time are contained in the practice by a substantial number of clients, a hefty portion of whom gain their business, through its practice. Be that as it may, while the science yields business to

⁸⁷ Supra 77.

⁸⁸ Supra 77

numerous, no lawful property rights over such information exist, which improves the degree for its private misappropriation.⁸⁹

The draft recommends that the initial phase in such manner is codification, in compatibility of which original copies and messages, have been recognized and a database has been made as per the rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization. Codification, while empowering recognizable proof of conventional information, averts coordinate misappropriation. In any case, the likelihood of roundabout misappropriation through a patent after some minor change as private property can't be invalidated.⁹⁰

At present, assurance of customary information connected with the utilization of organic assets, is alluded to in the Biological Diversity Act, 2002. The draft makes it compulsory for outsiders to look for the endorsement of the State Biodiversity Board for securing any organic asset introduce in the state or the "learning related thereto". As indicated by the proposition, the State Biodiversity Board will guarantee that the "learning related thereto" can be acquired just on the condition that every single helpful adjustment got from or in light of this information must be accessible for anybody to utilize. Assist, the draft likewise looks for the State Biodiversity Board to guarantee that any advancement in view of conventional learning (connected with organic assets) be incorporated in the domain of "innovative hall". Such a practice would have two components: in the first place, while a patent might be taken out on such an advancement, it is authorized for general utilize; and furthermore, this permit for general utilize itself contains the condition that any further development in view of the first advancement is likewise returned to the domain of "inventive house". This plan would avert misappropriation of

⁸⁹ Supra 77

⁹⁰ Supra 77.

conventional information by Multi National Companies, as well as on the command of Indian Corporations. The draft additionally agrees for certain particular cases to be incorporated as special cases. It additionally prescribed a parallel sui generis framework to be made stomach muscle novo for customary learning dropping out of the domain of the Biodiversity Act, without a similar to statute for different circles of conventional information.⁹¹

The strategy, still at the draft-stage, is quiet on certain vital issues, for example, ward. Being one of the not very many endeavors on the planet that move towards arrangement creation in this field, the attempt with respect to the State Govt. of Kerala, is without a doubt a praiseworthy stride towards security of this key space of Intellectual Property.⁹²

2.10 Customary Practices and Traditional Knowledge Protection

Aside from the formal legitimate administration, casual standard practices and laws, exuding from inside the group, have specific centrality with regards to security of TK of biodiversity. Nearby and indigenous groups have since time immemorial, shared a nearby and reliant association with the components of their surroundings. This relationship has helped them build up a sound comprehension of their environment and the assets discovered in that. This closeness and the profound comprehension has created into a learning framework which throughout the years, passed on from one era to the next, has supported their survival. The get to and utilization of the natural assets and the related information in these groups have regularly been administered by a plenty of casual standard systems, which have helped in the protection of both the asset and the learning.

⁹¹ Supra 77.

⁹² Supra 77.

For, a rich organic asset base prompts to a productive learning framework, which thusly flourishes and twists on the maintained accessibility or protection of the previous. Maintainable utilization of bio resources is reflected in the vast majority of the traditions of indigenous groups. Astuteness of group senior citizens in regards to the status of a asset is converted into a practice which consolidates supportable reap or insightful utilize of the asset. This practice over a timeframe takes the state of a custom, which ignored from era to era, accumulates the drive of law as it gets acknowledged as a norm. The presence of standard works on overseeing the utilization of organic and regular assets might be seen with regards to backwoods utilize rehearses, conventional water employments what's more, administration, landholding designs, horticultural practices, fisheries, utilization of lodge, etc. Groups have distinctive types of conventional agent structures of rights, forces, concessions and commitments identifying with the utilization and administration of the organic and common assets. Protection frameworks innate in the convictions and standard works on relating to organic and common assets may take any of the accompanying structures.⁹³

Conviction frameworks, which guide individuals' connection with the elements around them. The idea of sacrosanct types of greenery in various societies has high security esteem, while consecrated forests are considered as stores of biodiversity ensured through sacrosanct convictions, for example, the nearness of a divinity in that. For instance, the consecrated forests in the condition of Meghalaya, containing for the most part of oaks and rhododendron trees, are thought to be town lodge. Felling of timber from these territories is an offense. Just for incineration reasons for existing are individuals

⁹³ WIPO Magazine, *What place for customary law in protecting traditional knowledge?* August 2010. Available at: www.wipo.int/wipo_magazine/en/2010/04/article_0007.html. (last visited on 29 July 2016).

permitted to take timber from these groves.³ Once more, lakes in the high height territories of Sikkim and Arunachal Pradesh are viewed as holy and are thought to be dwelling places divinities and hence, execution of specific acts is limited to keep up virtue of the waters.

Social taboos and marks of shame appended to the executing of specific species amid certain periods. For instance, among the Adis of Arunachal Pradesh, chasing of certain types of creatures is denied amid the pregnancy of the seeker's better half. Among the Nishis of Arunachal Pradesh, the chasing of a solitary male hornbill is denied amid the reproducing season. Evacuating the datura plant or culling its blooms is restricted in numerous groups, since this plant develops close water springs also, saves water in its root.

A few groups see certain types of creatures as kinfolk and abstain from slaughtering them. For example, the Santals have totemic tribes; with every faction asserting family relationship with a specific plant or creature species. So solid are their sentiments towards these totemic species that they respect them as their own particular family individuals. Eating or hurting the totem is precluded.

Some learning identified with restorative plants, which is utilized as a part of the treatment of particular ailments, is held by a couple in the group. Generally these are the customary healers and for the most part, they don't uncover this information to others in the group or to pariahs. This is an instrument to secure the asset utilized as a part of the treatment from over-extraction and in the cutting edge setting, can be seen as a hindrance component against biopiracy.

These customary practises include belief system, folktales, genetic resources, social taboos, knowledge related to medicinal plants. Customary laws score over statutory law from multiple points of view. Other than being alterable in nature which gives them adaptability, they are better adjusted to nearby circumstances which bring out better consistence from the group individuals. Standard laws are socially touchy, asset particular and react to the biological system way to deal with administration of the resources. At the point when authorized through conventional foundations, for example, the village councils, they bring about speedier justice and settlement of dispute; the traditional institutions have greater accessibility to local people both in the sense of costs involved and eliciting more trust/faith in the system. However, problem is that, customary laws alone with regard to protection and preservation of such knowledge prove insufficient because it has a rather limited jurisdiction over an issue that can cross many borders.

2.11 Customary Laws, Traditional Knowledge and Genetic Resources Protection

British rule in India led to the importation of English laws, and although the British felt that Indians should be governed by their own laws in matters relating to family, religion and inheritance, there was little fusing of English law with traditional laws. In fact, application of customary laws was curtailed by informal tribunals. Customs can be understood as long established practises having common acceptance in a society. In India, the Constitution defines “law” to include “custom or usage having in the territory

of India the force of law⁹⁴”.The Courts of India have provided for further requirements for customary laws which include:

- 1) “Ancient or immemorial” in origin⁹⁵
- 2) “Reasonable in nature and continuous in use,”

Though customary laws not a law in itself they come to be recognised as an important source of law. In a mega diverse country like India different communities have had different customary practises in accordance to their own requirements and many customary laws in India over time have even taken the shape of statutes, e.g. The Hindu Marriage Act.

Aside from the formal lawful administration, casual standard practices and laws, radiating from inside the group, have specific hugeness with regards to security of TK of biodiversity. Nearby and indigenous groups have since time immemorial, shared a nearby and reliant association with the components of their surroundings. This relationship has helped them build up a sound comprehension of their environment and the assets discovered in that. This nearness and the profound comprehension has created into an information framework which throughout the years, passed on from one era to the next, has helped their survival. The get to and utilization of the natural assets and the related information in these groups have regularly been administered by a plenty of casual standard instruments, which have helped in the protection of both the asset and the learning. For, a rich organic asset base prompts to a productive learning framework,

⁹⁴Under Article 13 of the Constitution of India

⁹⁵PranNathKundu vs Emperor AIR Calcutta 286.

which thusly flourishes and twists on the maintained accessibility or protection of the previous. Reasonable utilization of bioresources is reflected in the greater part of the traditions of neighbourhood and indigenous groups. Shrewdness of group older folks with respect to the status of an asset is converted into a practice which consolidates manageable gather or astute utilize of the asset. This practice over a timeframe takes the state of a custom, which is ignored from era to era, assembles the constrain of law as it gets acknowledged as a standard. The presence of standard works on administering the utilization of organic and regular assets might be seen with regards to backwoods utilize rehearses, conventional water employments also, administration, landholding designs, horticultural practices, fisheries, utilization of center, and so on. Groups have distinctive types of conventional agent systems of rights, forces, concessions and commitments identifying with the utilization and management of the biological and natural resources.⁹⁶

The unique element about the customary learning and its acknowledgment as a type of Intellectual Property lies in the way that the essential thoughts of protected innovation advanced around the western idea of individualistic property holding while conventional information is something which is held by group on the loose. This is a noteworthy issue of dispute as to accord on purpose of customary learning. The current classifications have tried to shield TK. The paper proposes that the current classifications are not productive for the insurance of TK. It has highlighted restrictions in every class and stressed that all TK can't be satisfactorily ensured through the routine licensed innovation framework.

⁹⁶ Customary Law, Traditional Knowledge and Intellectual Property: An Outline of the Issues, WIPO 2013. Available at: www.wipo.int/overview_customary_law. (last visit on august ,13, 2016).

With a specific end goal to counter the inadequacies in the routine system, guarded and positive methodologies have been conceptualized for the security of customary information. This paper recommends that receiving an integral approach joining the two key methodologies. A sui generis framework is probably going to center not around guarded security alone but rather additionally make positive rights over the ensured topic.

It is inescapable that a sui generis instrument would offer ascent to different diverse and one of a kind legitimate structures over the globe and this would make instability, however this should be endured till the TRIPs Council or whatever other universal establishment thinks of a standard and successful multilateral framework for security of the conventional learning.

Nations during the time spent actualizing positive security by means of sui generis frameworks have concentrated significantly on the ABS frameworks set up. In light of that, the paper proposes setting up terms and states of an Access and Benefit Sharing framework; uniform or custom-made to address the issues of individual nations. Facilitate, it is presented that till such a framework is set up, nations need to secure their insight and bio-assets through the self-created implies. The paper infers that a positive legitimate administration is, in this manner, required to satisfactorily ensure Traditional Knowledge.

Chapter Three

International Conventions for the Protection of Traditional Knowledge and Genetic Resources

Global lawful system on ensuring Traditional Knowledge initially expressed as a result of 'bio robbery' and has since come to be an essential issue for different reasons moreover. A few reasons have been advanced to clarify why global activity is expected to address these issues. i.e.

- 1) Common monetary intrigue
- 2) Equity
- 3) Environment
- 4) Food security
- 5) Culture, and
- 6) Development

Today at the International level, the legitimate system for assurance of Plant Genetic Resources, Folklore, customary information and Intellectual Property Rights is spread over a scope of universal traditions: the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), the Agreement on Trade Related

Intellectual Property (TRIPs) of the World Trade Organization (WTO) and the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA).⁹⁷

Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) in 1992 interestingly perceived the sovereign right of country states to abuse their own natural and genetic resources which must be, in compatibility to the national ecological arrangements. It is the main worldwide assertion that throws a commitment on part states to regard, save and look after information, advancements and practices of indigenous and neighbourhood groups under Article 8 (j)⁹⁸ as it were under the CBD, each nation would have the sovereign appropriate to decide and execute get to and utilization of their customary learning.

There are for the most part two sorts of security gave in CBD which are:

1) Defensive insurance: intends to prevent individuals outside the group from securing protected innovation rights over customary information. India, for instance, has gathered a searchable database of customary solution that can be utilized as proof of earlier workmanship by patent analysts when evaluating patent applications.

2) Positive assurance: is the giving of rights that engage groups to advance their conventional learning, control its uses and advantage from its business misuse.

Further, other key bargain on insurance on TK incorporate, International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture⁹⁹ (ITPGR), marked in November 2001 looks

⁹⁷ Infra 98.

⁹⁸ Convention on Biological Diversity 1992, Traditional Knowledge, Innovations and Practices, available at, <https://www.cbd.int/traditional/>. (last visited on December 29, 2016).

⁹⁹ International treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture 2001, available at: www.fao.org/plant-treaty/en/. (latest visited on October 23, 2016).

to "extension sustenance security, biodiversity and licensed innovation rights" and WIPO's Intergovernmental Committee on Intellectual Property and Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Folklore (IGRTKF) practices for transactions for advancing a global structure for the assurance of Traditional Knowledge.¹⁰⁰

Other than the above there are different bargains TK the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), International Undertaking on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (now the FAO International Treaty). Some other global associations TK International Labor Organization, United Nations Commission on Human Rights, and United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous people Issues have tended to the assurance of TK issue.¹⁰¹ The acknowledgment and insurance of TK, WIPO working gathering board of trustees has set up an Intergovernmental Committee on Intellectual Property and Genetic Resources. IGC is uncommonly relegated the assignment of taking a gander at the licensed innovation parts of get to and TK, with regards to worldwide instruments, the national laws of part expresses, the present level headed discussion over adjusting enthusiasm between commercializing TK, from one perspective, and securing it against commercialization then again¹⁰².

3.1 WIPO (World Intellectual Property Organization) Convention:-

The Convention building up the world Intellectual property Organization regularly known as WIPO tradition was marked at Stockholm in 1967 and went into constrained in

¹⁰⁰ Intergovernmental Committee, available at: <http://www.wipo.int/tk/en/igc/>. (last visited 07/02/2017).

¹⁰¹ United Nation Division for social policy and development, available at: <https://www.un.org/development/desa/indigenouspeoples/>. (Last Visited 07/02/2017).

¹⁰² Catherine Saez, *Discussions on genetic resources, traditional Knowledge Resume at WIPO Against Stormy Background*, 2016, available at: www.ip-watch.org. (last visited on September 2016).

1970. The inception of WIPO can be followed back to 1883 Berne Convention and 1886 of Paris Conventions. Presently WIPO and UN (United Nation) consented to an arrangement to cooperate and WIPO turned into a particular office of joined country in 1974. The goals of WIPO is fundamentally two, (a) the main goal is to advance the assurance of protected innovation all through the world through participation among states and where fitting in a joint effort with some other worldwide association, (b) the second goal is to guarantee regulatory collaboration among the licensed innovation union set up by the bargains that WIPO manages, for example, Paris Union and Berne Union and so on. The mission of WIPO is progressively embracing a worldwide approach to licensed innovation as well as in the more extensive structure of rising issues, for example, customary learning, old stories, organic differing qualities, environment security and human rights. A standout amongst the most huge errand of WIPO is to elucidate protected innovation. The structure of the WIPO is based upon the Stockholm tradition of 1967. The General Assembly comprises of all the express that are individuals from WIPO and individual from any of the union. The meeting comprises of all the state which are individuals from WIPO, regardless of whether they are individuals from any the union¹⁰³. The fundamental elements of the meeting where partitioned into five gatherings they are:-

(i). the meeting was to constitute a gathering for trades of perspectives between every one of the states individuals from WIPO on matters identifying with protected innovation.

¹⁰³ Convention establishing the World Intellectual Property Organization, available at www.wipo.int/treaties/en/convention/ (last visited on 16 December 2016).

(ii). the meeting was to set up the normal advancement participation program for creating nations.

(iii). the meeting was to receive a financial plan for any reason.

(iv) The Conference is additionally skilful to embrace revision to the tradition setting up WIPO.

(v). the gathering TK the General Assembly was to figure out which state and associations would be admitted to its gatherings as watches.

The organization completed by WIPO are the tradition building up WIPO giving four unique organs they are:-

(a). The General Assembly,

(b). Meeting,

(c). Coordination Committee and

(d). the International Bureau of WIPO or Secretariat.

The General Assembly is the preeminent organ of WIPO. The General Assembly names the Director General upon selection by the coordination board of trustees. The fourth organ of WIPO is the global agency of WIPO or Secretariat, which is going by the Director General¹⁰⁴.

¹⁰⁴ V.K. Ahuja, *law Relating to Intellectual Property Rights* (LexisNexis, Noida, 2ndedn, 2013).

3.2 Agreements on Traditional Knowledge and Genetic Resources:

3.2.1 Berne Convention for the Literary and Artistic works, 1886.

The most seasoned worldwide assention in the field of copyright is the Berne Convention for the assurance of abstract and aesthetic works. The Berne tradition was marked in 1886 and given insurance by the tradition were abstract works, masterful works, sensational works, melodic works and cinematographic works however not the conventional information type of copyright not secured. The tradition TK secures subsidiary works. Subordinate works depend on other previous works, for example, interpretation, selections, and plans of music and other adjustment of an abstract or masterful work. As per article 2(1) of the Convention, abstract and masterful works incorporate "books, leaflets and other written work, addresses, locations, sermons and different works of a similar sort, emotional or dramatic-melodic works, choreographic works or diversions in idiotic show, melodic syntheses with or without words, cinematographic attempts to which are acclimatized works communicated by a procedure practically equivalent to cinematography, works of drawing, painting, design, model, etching and lithography, photography attempts to which are absorbed works communicated by a procedure closely resembling photography, works of connected craftsmanship, representations, maps, arranges, portrayals and three dimensional works in respect to geology, geography, engineering or science". The rundown delineated in Article 2(1) of the tradition is not a shut rundown. The Convention ensures the distributed or unpublished works of creators who are nationals of a union region. The essential foremost of this tradition was National Treatment and Automatic Protection. The security

is given on the premise of financial and good privileges of the creators. As indicated by the Article 7(1) of the tradition the security conceded is the life of the writer and fifty years from the finish of the year of his demise¹⁰⁵.

3.2.2 Paris Convention for the assurance of Industrial Property, 1883.

For the security of Industrial Property, the Paris tradition was received in 1883. It was one of the main most critical multilateral arrangements for ensuring scholarly property. The Paris tradition was re-examined on a few circumstances in

- i. At Brussels in 1900.
- ii. At Washington in 1901.
- iii. At Hague in 1925.
- iv. At London in 1934.
- v. At Lisbon in 1958.
- vi. At Stockholm in 1967.

This traditions are relevant on mechanical properties incorporates Patents, Trade Marks, Service Marks, Industrial Designs, Utility Models, Trade Name, Indication of Sources, Applications of Original and Repression of Unfair Competition. The principle goal of this tradition are, (a) National Treatment-Articles 2 and 3 of the tradition ensures national treatment. National treatment requires that every part states allow a similar quality and amount of insurance in regard to the licensed innovation listed in the tradition. The

¹⁰⁵ Ibid.

standard of correspondence was applied in the tradition for national treatment. Article 2(3) accommodates an exemption to the standard of national treatment. The guideline of national treatment does not guarantee any base level of substantive rights. (b) Right of Priority-The privilege of need alluded with regards to the tradition need right. Article 4 gives that a candidate qualified to tradition benefits who records a first normal patent or trademark application in any nations of the tradition can then document resulting application in different nations of the tradition for a characterized timeframe which ensuing applications will have a successful filling date with regards to the initially documented application. With regards to patent and trademark applications the need right is essential. (c) Common Rules on licenses The arrangement of tradition give substantive standards to ensuring licenses. Article 4 give the rule that a patent application in one nation of the union is analyzed and conceded or prevented free from securing applications for licenses for the same or related innovations documented patent terms are measured as per the appropriate of national law without respect to a need guarantee. Article 4 additionally give that the innovator has the privilege to be named all things considered in the patent and patent might be declined or refuted in light of the fact that the item protected or acquired by method for a licensed procedure is liable to confinements on its deal or critical under the residential law. Article 11 of the tradition give that the part nations are obliged to allow transitory security as a privilege of need or other affirmation against the devastation curiosity, innovations, models, plan and stamps in regard of products showed held in the domain of a part nation. (c) (i) Common guidelines concerning Trademarks-the tradition give arrangement identifying with the standards of trademark or stamp. Article 5C (1) permits nations to establish protectionist

enactment for the cancelation of imprints enlisted however simply after a sensible period has slipped by and afterward just if the proprietor neglects to legitimize the non utilized. Article 5C (2) give insurance to the utilization of a check in a shape unique in relation to the frame in which it has been enlisted the length of the unmistakable character of the stamp is not modified. Article 5C (3) takes into consideration the simultaneous utilization of the check by at least two foundations that are co-proprietors the length of such utilize does not delude the general population and is not in opposition to open intrigue¹⁰⁶. Article 5 give a commitment to the countries of the union to concede trademark registrants a beauty time of no less than six months for the instalment of reestablishment expenses. Article 6 of the tradition gave that imprints enlisted in the different nations of the union might be viewed as free of each other, including of the nation of inception and that the enrolment of imprints may not be denied nor negated on the ground that the enlistment or recharging of the same or related check has not been affected in the nation of cause. Article 7 of the tradition give that the way of the products to which a trademark is to be connected might for no situation frame an obstruction to the enlistment of the stamp. Article 7 additionally give a commitment to the part countries to acknowledge for recording and secure aggregate imprints having a place with relationship the length of the presence of the affiliation is not constituted or perceived in the part nation in which insurance for the aggregate check is looked for. Article 8 gave a commitment to the individuals from the union to secure exchange names without the commitment of documenting or enlistment independent of whether the exchange name shapes a part of an exchange check. (C) (ii) Common standards concerning Indications of Source-the goals listed in the Paris tradition was to gave insurance of topographical signs including

¹⁰⁶ Ibid.

labels of root and signs of source. Article 1(2) and Article 10 give security against any immediate or backhanded utilization of a bogus sign of source or character of the maker, make or vendor. Article 9 give the cures concerning the seizing of products bearing false signs and the preclusion on the importation of such merchandise. Article 10(2) engages common people and lawful substances to arraign activities inside the influenced country. Article 10 additionally give require part nations to permit intrigued alliances and exchange relationship to bring activities with a view to the suppression of such acts. (C)(iii) Common administer concerning Unfair Competition-the essential wellspring of global commitments in the field of unreasonable rivalry was the Paris tradition for the assurance of mechanical property of 1883. The whole law of unreasonable rivalry in the Paris tradition is given under Article 10¹⁰⁷.

3.2.3 Global Convention for the assurance of New Varieties of Plants, 1961, 1978 and 1991.

This was the main global tradition for the assurance of new assortments of plants. An International tradition for the assurance of New Varieties of Plants (UPOV Convention) is a sui generis type of licensed innovation security. It was particularly intended to mirror the particularities of reproducing, development and utilization of new assortments of plants. The point of UPOV is to give and advance a powerful arrangement of plant assortment insurance and to empowering the improvement of new assortments of plant for the advantage of society. The universal tradition for the insurance of new assortments of plants was marked in Paris on December 1961. The preface of the traditions says that the "persuaded of the significance joining to the insurance of new assortments of plant,

¹⁰⁷ *ibid.*

not just for the improvement of farming in their domain additionally to safeguard the interests of reproducers". To acquire security of new assortment of plant under the UPOV tradition, the accompanying necessity must be satisfy, they are (i) It must be plainly recognizable from existing assortments, (ii) It must be adequately uniform, (iii) It must be steady in its fundamental qualities after rehashed proliferation or spread and (iv) It must have an appropriate section. Assurance implies that any commercialization of spreading material of the assortment is liable to the raiser's approval. In the year 1978 a critical change was made concerned the status of UPOV as an intergovernmental association. After this correction UPOV was enriched with legitimate identity on the region of every part union. Different alterations were made to offices the promotion of express that were not yet individuals. A special case was fused into Article 37 to permit the United States of America to hold their double arrangement of security and the outline of the range of utilization as indicated by the way of spread of the assortment. Another revision of UPOV was made in 1991¹⁰⁸. The five principle highlight of altered UPOV of 1991 are as per the following:- (i) standard criteria for security (curiosity, assortment section, uniqueness, consistency and soundness), (ii) least extent of assurance, (iii) least span of assurance, (iv) least number of plant genera and species for which assortment security must be given and (v) rules for promotion to the tradition, national treatment and need of utilizations. The 1991 Act determines prerequisite for the approval for the business misuse of a basically inferred assortment. In this tradition the contracting gatherings are committed to give taking after rights to the raiser in regard of spreading material of a secured assortment, they are:- (a) Production or Reproduction (Multiplication), (b) Conditioning with the end goal of proliferation, (c) offering available to be purchased, (d)

¹⁰⁸ S.R. Myneni, *Law of Intellectual Property*(Asia law House, Hyderabad, 7th edn.,2014).

Selling or other Marketing, (e) Exporting, (f) Importing and (g) Stocking for any of the previously mentioned purposes¹⁰⁹.

3.2.4 Convention on Biological Diversity, 1992.¹¹⁰

At the United country Conference on Environment and Development, the Convention on Biological Diversity was finished up at Rio de Janeiro in 1992.¹¹¹ The foremost destinations of the tradition on Biological Diversity are the protection and manageable utilization of organic differences and the reasonable and evenhanded sharing of advantages emerging from its use.

The primary points of the tradition are:

- i. The preservation of Biological Diversity.
- ii. The Sustainable utilization of its parts and
- iii. The Fair and Equitable sharing of the advantages emerging out of the use of genetic resources.¹¹²

The tradition was marked at the Earth Summit Rio de Janeiro on 5th June 1992 and come into compel on 29th December 1993. Article 1 of the tradition on organic differences gives the destinations of the tradition.¹¹³ The destinations of this tradition is to be sought after as per the important arrangement, are the protection of organic differing qualities, the feasible utilization of its parts and the reasonable and fair sharing of the advantages

¹⁰⁹ Supra note 5 at

¹¹⁰ Supra note 5.

¹¹¹ Supra note 5.

¹¹² Supra note 5.

¹¹³ Supra note 5.

emerging out of the usage of geneticresources, including by suitable access to geneticresources and by fitting exchange of significant advances, considering all rights over those resources and to innovations, and by proper finding. The meaning of natural assorted qualities is given under Article 2 of this tradition which says, "Organic differing qualities implies the changeability among living life forms from all sources including, bury alia, earthbound, marine and other sea-going biological systems and the environmental complex of which they are part, this incorporates differences inside species, amongst species and of biological communities." Article 2 TK characterize, "Genetic resources," which implies genetic material of genuine or potential esteem. Genetic material means any material of plant, creature, microbial or other beginning containing utilitarian units of heredity. In-situ protection implies the preservation of environment and normal living space and the upkeep and recuperation of practical populaces of species in their common encompassing and, on account of tamed or developed specie, in the surroundings where they have built up their particular properties¹¹⁴.

Article 8 gives in-situ discussion which implies every contracting party might, quite far as proper:

- (a) Establish an arrangement of ensured zones or territories where unique measures should be taken or to moderate organic differing qualities.

¹¹⁴ Supra note 5

- (b) Develop, where vital, rules for the choice, foundation and administration of ensured regions or territories where extraordinary measures should be taken to ration organic differences.
- (c) Regulate or oversee natural resources essential for the preservation of organic assorted qualities whether inside or outside secured zones, with a view to guaranteeing their protection or maintainable utilize.
- (d) Promote the insurance of biological community, characteristic living space and the upkeep of suitable populace of species in common environment.
- (e) Promote ecologically solid and maintainable advancement in zones adjoining secured regions with a view to assisting ensuring of those territories.
- (f) Rehabilitate and reestablished corrupted biological system and advance the recuperation of undermined species, bury alia, through the improvement and usage of arrangements or other administration techniques.
- (g) Establish or keep up intends to direct, oversee or control the dangers connected with the utilization and arrival of living altered living beings coming about because of biotechnology which are probably going to have unfriendly natural effects that could influence the preservation and feasible utilization of organic assorted qualities, considering the hazard to human wellbeing.
- (h) Prevent the presentation of, control or kill those outsider species which debilitated biological communities, natural surroundings or species.

- (i) Endeavour to give the conditions expected to similarity between present uses and the discussion of natural differing qualities and the practical utilization of its segments.
- (j) Subjects to its national enactment, regard, safeguard and look after learning, advancements and practices of indigenous and neighbourhood groups epitomizing customary ways of life significant for the discussion and economical utilization of natural assorted qualities and advance their more extensive application with the endorsement and inclusion of the holders of such information, developments and hones and energize the fair sharing of the advantages emerging from the use of such information, advancements and practices.
- (k) Develop or keep up vital enactment and other administrative arrangements for the insurance of undermined species and populaces.
- (l) Where a critical unfriendly impact on organic differing qualities has been resolved in accordance with Article 7¹¹⁵, direct or deal with the applicable procedures and classifications of exercises.
- (m) Cooperate in giving money related and other support¹¹⁶.

Article 15 gives access to genetic qualities resources

- (a) Recognizing the sovereign privileges of states over their normal resources, the power to decide access to genetic qualities resources rests with the national government and is liable to national enactment.

¹¹⁵ Convention on Biological Diversity, 1992, art. 7 Identification and Monitoring.

¹¹⁶ Convention on Biological Diversity, 1992 (In-Situ Conservation), art. 8.

(b) Each contracting party should attempt to make conditions to encourage access to genetic qualities asset for naturally stable uses by other contracting parties and not to force limitation that run counter to the targets of this tradition.

(c) For the motivation behind this condition, the genetic qualities resources being given by a contracting party, as alluded to in this Article and Articles 16 and 19, are just those that are given by contracting parties that are nations of root of such resources or by the gatherings that have obtained the geneticresources as per this tradition.

(d) Access, where in all actuality, should be on commonly concurred terms and subject to the arrangement of this Article.

(e) Access to genetic qualities resources should be liable to earlier educated assent of the contracting party giving such resources, unless generally dictated by that gathering.

(f) Each contracting party might attempt to create and do logical research in view of geneticresources gave by other contracting parties with the full investment of, and where conceivable in, such contracting parties.

(g) Each contracting party should take authoritative, regulatory or strategy measures, as suitable and as per Articles 16 and 19 and, where essential, through the monetary system set up by Articles 20 and 21 with the point of partaking in a reasonable and impartial way the consequences of innovative work and the advantages emerging from

the business and other usage of geneticresources with the contracting party giving such resources. Such sharing should be upon commonly concurred terms¹¹⁷.

Article16 gives Access to and Transfer of Technology

(a) Each contracting party, perceiving that innovation incorporates biotechnology, and that both access to and exchange of innovation among contracting gatherings are fundamental components for the fulfillment of the goals of this tradition, attempts subject to the arrangements of this Article to give and encourage access to and exchange to other contracting gatherings of advancements that are important to the preservation and manageable utilization of organic differing qualities or make utilization of geneticresources and don't bring about huge harm to the earth.

(b) Access to and exchange of innovation alluded to in section 1 to creating nations might be given and encouraged under reasonable and most great terms, including on concessional and particular terms where commonly concurred, and where important as per the money related component set up by Article 20¹¹⁸ and 21¹¹⁹. On account of innovation subject to licenses and other protected innovation rights, such get to and exchange should be given on terms which perceive and are predictable might be reliable with the sufficient and successful insurance of licensed innovation rights. The utilization of this passage might be reliable with sections 3,4 and 5 underneath.

¹¹⁷ Convention on Biological Diversity, 1992 (access to genetic resources), art. 15. Available at: <https://www.cbd.int/convention/>. (last visited 07/02/2017).

¹¹⁸ Convention on Biological Resources, 1992, (Financial Resources), art. 20. Available at: <https://www.cbd.int/convention/>. (last visited 07/02/2017).

¹¹⁹ Convention on Biological Resources, 1992, (Financial Mechanism), art. 21. Available at: <https://www.cbd.int/convention/>. (last visited 07/02/2017).

(c) Each Contracting Party might take authoritative, organization or arrangement measures, as suitable, with the point that Contracting Parties, specifically those that are creating nations, which give geneticresources are given access to and exchange of innovation which makes utilization of those resources, on commonly concurred terms, including innovation secured by licenses and other protected innovation rights, where vital through the arrangements of Article 20and 21and as per universal law and steady with passages 4 and 5 beneath.

(d) Each Contracting Party should take authoritative, organization or arrangement measures, as suitable, with the point that the private division encourages access to, joint improvement and exchange of innovation alluded for the advantage of both legislative foundations and the private area of creating nations and in such manner might submit to the commitments incorporated into sections 1,2 and 3.

(e) The Contracting Parties, perceiving that licenses and other protected innovation rights may have an impact on the execution of this Convention, should coordinate in such manner subject to national enactment and global law keeping in mind the end goal to guarantee that such rights are strong of and don't run counter to its destinations¹²⁰.

Article 19 provides: handling of Biotechnology and Distribution of its Benefits

a) Each Contracting Party might take authoritative, regulatory or arrangement measures, as fitting, to accommodate the compelling investment in biotechnological investigate exercises by those Contracting Parties, particularly creating nations, which give the geneticresources to such research and where possible in such Contracting Parties

¹²⁰ Convention on Biological Diversity, 1992 (access to and transfer of technology), art. 16.

b) Each Contracting Party might take the practicable measures to advance and propel need access on a reasonable and impartial premise by Contracting Parties, particularly creating areas, to the outcomes and regale emerging from biotechnologies based upon geneticresources gave by those Contracting Parties. Such get to might be commonly concurred terms.

c) The Parties should consider the requirement for and modalities of a convention setting out suitable methodology, including, specifically, progress educated understanding, in the field of the more secure exchange, taking care of and utilization of any living creature coming about because of biotechnology that may have unfriendly impact on the preservation and reasonable utilization of organic differences.

d) Each Contracting Party might, specifically or by requiring of any regular or legitimate individual under its purview giving the life forms alluded to in passage 3 above, give any accessible data about the utilization and security directions required by that Contracting Party in taking care of such living beings, and additionally any accessible data on the potential antagonistic effect of the particular creatures worried to the Contracting Party into which those life forms are to be presented¹²¹.

Article 27 Provides Settlements of Dispute

a) In this occasion of a debate between Contracting Parties concerning the understanding or utilization of this tradition, the gatherings concerned might look for arrangement by transaction.

¹²¹ Convention on Biological Diversity, 1992 (Handling of Biotechnology and Distribution of its Benefits), art. 19.

- b) If the gatherings concerned can't achieve by transaction, they may mutually look for the great workplaces of, or demand intercession by an outsider.

- c) When confirming, tolerating, endorsing or acquiescing to this Convention, or whenever from there on, a State or provincial monetary incorporation association may proclaim in keeping in touch with the Depositary that for a question not settled as per section 2, it acknowledges either of the accompanying means of debate settlement as necessary:
 - i. Arbitration as per the technique.
 - ii. Submission of the debate to the International Court of Justice.

- d) If the gatherings to the debate and have not acknowledged the same or any technique, the question might be submitted to pacification unless the gatherings concur.

- e) The arrangements of this Article might apply as for any convention with the exception of as generally gave in the convention concerned¹²².

3.2.5 The Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and Equitable Sharing of Benefits, 2010.

The Nagoya convention on access to geneticresources and the reasonable and fair sharing of advantages emerging from their use to the tradition on Biological Diversity was

¹²² Convention of Biological Diversity, 1992 (Settlement of Dispute), art. 27.

received on 29 October 2010 in Nagoya Japan.¹²³ The convention essentially progresses the tradition's third target i.e. "reasonable and evenhanded sharing of advantages" emerging from the usage of geneticresources by giving a solid premise to more prominent legitimate sureness and straightforwardness for both suppliers and clients of geneticresources. This convention applies to geneticresources inside the extent of Article 15¹²⁴ of the tradition on Biological Diversity and the advantages emerging from the use of such resources. This convention TK applies to Traditional learning connected with geneticresources with the extent of the tradition and to the regale emerging from the use of such information. Article 5¹²⁵ of the convention accommodates advantage sharing emerging from the usage of geneticresources and in addition consequent applications and commercialization. The sharing's must be reasonable and fair. Gatherings are at freedom to figure out what constitutes such sharing as indicated by different needs through commonly concurred terms. Nations may stipulate least terms that should be incorporated to satisfy at reasonable and evenhanded criteria in their national laws.

The Nagoya convention on access to genetic qualities resources and the reasonable and evenhanded sharing of advantages emerging proviso on the Convention on Biological Diversity was adjusted on 29th October 2010, in Nagoya, Japan. it satisfy the targets for the tradition on natural differing qualities. It gives a legitimate assurance and

¹²³The Nagoya Protocol on Access and Benefit-sharing, available at: <https://www.cbd.int/abs/>. (last visited 07/02/2017).

¹²⁴ Supra note 14 at

¹²⁵ Convention on Biological Diversity, 1992 (Cooperation), art. 5. Each contracting party shall, as far as possible and as appropriate cooperate with other contracting parties, directly or where appropriate, through competent international organization, in respect of areas beyond national jurisdiction and on other matters or mutual interest, for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity.

straightforwardness of both suppliers and clients of genetic qualities resources. It accentuation on solid national enactment or administrative component for giving geneticresources and legally binding commitments reflected in commonly concurred terms of the convention. The condition for access to geneticresources is to guarantee the sharing of advantages when genetic qualities resources leave with a gathering giving genetic qualities resources. TK the conventions has arrangement identifying with access to customary information held by indigenous and neighbourhood groups to profits by the utilization of their insight, developments and practices.

The target of this convention is the reasonable and impartial sharing of advantages emerging from the use of geneticresources. Advance this convention adds to satisfy the point and targets to the preservation of organic assorted qualities and supportable utilization of its segments. The extent of this convention is to apply geneticresources inside the extent of Article 15 of the tradition of natural assorted qualities Act of 1992. This convention applies to the customary learning connected with geneticresources inside the extent of Article 8(j) of the tradition of natural assorted qualities¹²⁶.

Article 5 of the convention gives reasonable and impartial advantage sharing.

a) In understanding with Article 15, passages 3 and 7 of the tradition, benefits emerging from the usage of geneticresources and also consequent applications and commercialization should be partaken in a reasonable and evenhanded route with the gathering giving such resources that is the nation of starting point of such resources or a

¹²⁶ V K Ahuja, *Law Relating to Intellectual Property Rights* 735 (LexisNexis, Noida, 2nd edn., 2013).

gathering that has gained the geneticresources as per the tradition. Such sharing might be upon commonly concurred terms.

b) Each party might take authoritative, regulatory or arrangement measures, as fitting, with the point of guaranteeing that advantages emerging from the use of geneticresources that are held by indigenous and neighbourhood groups, as per local enactment in regards to the built up privileges of these indigenous and neighborhood groups over these geneticresources, are partaken in issue and impartial route with the groups concerned, in light of commonly concurred terms.

c) To execute section 1 over, every gathering might take authoritative, managerial or strategy measures, as fitting.

d) Benefits many incorporate financial and non-money related advantages, including however not constrained to those recorded in the Annex.

e) Each party should take authoritative, managerial or arrangement measures, as suitable, all together that the advantages emerging from the use of conventional learning connected with genetic qualities resources are partaken in a reasonable and evenhanded path with indigenous and nearby groups holding such information. Such sharing might be upon commonly term concurred.

Article 6 of the convention gives access to geneticresources.

a) In the practice of sovereign rights over regular resources, and subject to household get to and advantage sharing enactment or administrative necessities, access to

geneticresources for their use should be liable to the earlier educated assent of the gathering giving such resources that is the nation of inception of such resources or a gathering that has obtained resources as per the tradition, unless generally controlled by that gathering.

b) In understanding with the local law, every gathering should take measures, as suitable, with the point of guaranteeing that the earlier educated assent or endorsement and association of indigenous and neighbourhood groups is gotten for access to geneticresources where they have the set up ideal to give access to such resources.

c) Pursuant to section 1 over, every gathering requiring earlier educated assent might take the important authoritative, regulatory or approach measures, as fitting, to:

i. Provide for lawful conviction, clarity and straightforwardness of their household get to and advantage sharing enactment or administrative prerequisites.

ii. Provide for reasonable and non-self-assertive guidelines and methods on getting to geneticresources

iii. Provide data on the most proficient method to apply for earlier educated assent.

iv. Provide for clear and straightforward composed choice by a skilled national power, on a financially savvy way and inside a sensible timeframe.

v. Provide for the issuance at the season of access of an allow or its proportionate as confirmation of the choice to concede earlier educated assent and of the foundation of commonly concurred terms, and inform the get to and advantage sharing clearing-house as needs be.

- vi. Where relevant, and subject to residential enactment, set out criteria or procedures for getting earlier educated assent or endorsement and contribution of indigenous and nearby groups for access to genetic qualities resources.
- vii. Establish clear standards and strategy for requiring and setting up commonly concurred terms. Such terms should be set out in composing and may incorporate, entomb alia:
 - A. A debate settlement statement.
 - B. Terms on advantage sharing, incorporating into connection to licensed innovation rights
 - C. Terms on ensuing outsider utilize, assuming any.
 - D. Terms on changes of purpose, where material.

Article 7 gives access to conventional learning connected with geneticresources, which implies every contracting party must order local enactment, to take proper legitimate component to satisfy the point of guaranteeing customary information connected with geneticresources. It implies indigenous and nearby groups got to with the earlier assent fitting which is commonly concurred set up term.

Article 12 furnishes Traditional learning connected with geneticresources

- a. In executing their commitments under this convention, parties might as per household law contemplate indigenous and nearby group's standard laws, group conventions and techniques, as material, as for customary information connected with geneticresources.
- b. Parties with the compelling investment of the indigenous and neighborhood groups concerned, might build up instrument to advise potential clients of conventional learning connected with geneticresources about their commitments, including measures

as made accessible through the get to and advantage sharing clearing-house for access to and reasonable and fair sharing of advantages emerging from the use of such information.

c. Parties might attempt to bolster, as suitable, the advancement by indigenous and neighborhood groups, including ladies inside these groups of:

i. Community protocols in connection to access to conventional information connected with genetic resources and the reasonable and impartial sharing of advantages emerging out of the usage of such learning.

ii. Minimum necessities for commonly concurred terms to secure the reasonable and fair sharing of advantages emerging from the use of conventional learning connected with genetic resources and

iii. Model legally binding conditions for advantage sharing emerging from the use of conventional learning connected with genetic resources.

iv. Parties in their execution of this convention should beyond what many would consider possible not limit the standard utilize and trade of genetic resources and related customary information inside and among indigenous and nearby groups as per the targets of the tradition.

Article 15 gives consistence household enactment or administrative necessities on get to and advantage sharing.

a) Each party should take suitable, powerful and proportionate authoritative, managerial or arrangement measure to give that genetic resources used inside its purview have been gotten to as per earlier educated assent and that commonly concurred terms

have been built up, as required by the residential get to and advantage sharing enactment or administrative prerequisites of the other party.

b) Parties should take fitting, powerful and proportionate measures to address circumstance on resistance with measures received as per section 1 above.

c) Parties should, as far conceivable and as fitting, participate in instances of asserted infringement of local get to and advantage sharing enactment or administrative necessities alluded to in section 1 above

Article 16 give consistence local enactment or administrative necessities on get to and advantage offering for conventional learning related to geneticresources

a) Each party should take suitable, viable and proportionate authoritative, managerial or approach measures, as fitting, to give that conventional information connected with geneticresources used inside their ward has been gotten to as per earlier educated assent or endorsement and inclusion of indigenous and nearby groups and that commonly concurred terms have been built up, as required by household get to and advantage sharing enactment or administrative prerequisites of the other party where such indigenous and neighbourhood groups are found.

b) Each party should take proper, successful and proportionate measures to address circumstance of resistance with measures received as per passage 1 above

c) Parties might, beyond what many would consider possible and as proper, collaborate if there should be an occurrence of charged infringement of household get to and advantage sharing enactment or administrative prerequisites alluded to in section 1.

3.2.6. Concession to Trade Related Aspect of Intellectual Property Rights, 1994.

The TRIPS understanding transactions began with the Ministerial Conference of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) at Uruguay in 1986. In this meeting the GATT contracting parties set out their stop at WIPO¹²⁷.

3.3 CBD and TRIPS:

India was one of the main party to the CBD and this is the principal universal understanding that has perceived and recognized the part and commitment of indigenous and neighbourhood groups in protection and maintainable utilization of the biodiversity.¹²⁸ The Convention has three primary standards for the assurance of natural differing qualities of indigenous individuals, i.e. Protection, Sustainable Use, Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits got from biodiversity.¹²⁹

Under this tradition every gathering has a commitment to create national enactment with a specific end goal to:

1. Respect, save and look after learning, developments and practices of indigenous and neighbourhood groups encapsulating customary ways of life applicable for the protection and reasonable utilization of natural assorted qualities.

¹²⁷ Supra note 22

¹²⁸ Supra 60.

¹²⁹ Supra 60.

2. Promote their more extensive application with the endorsement and inclusion of the holders of such information, advancements and rehearses and support the even handed sharing of the advantages emerging from the usage of such learning, developments and practices.¹³⁰

3. Protect and energize standard utilization of natural resources as per customary social practices that are good with preservation or reasonable utilize necessities.

4. Develop and utilize indigenous and customary advances, in compatibility of the goals of this Convention.¹³¹

This tradition gives expresses a privilege to be perceived as the proprietors of natural resources and to misuse the same in their domains. "Access to genetic resources must be predictable with the gatherings' commitment to regard, save and look after TK, advancement and practices. States have obligation under CBD to encourage access to, and advantage sharing emerging from the utilization of organic resources and to subject all entrance to earlier educated agree as indicated by commonly concurred terms.¹³²

Members must give patent assurance to small scale living beings and non-organic and microbiological forms. Members should likewise give some type of security to new plant assortments (licenses, a sui generis framework, for example, plant raiser's rights or a blend of both).

Despite the fact that in the historical backdrop of worldwide traditions identified with Intellectual Property Rights, CBD and TRIPS are the points of interest, yet they don't give a reasonable insurance layer to TK. For example, CBD arrangements "demonstrated

¹³⁰ Supra 60.

¹³¹ Supra 60.

¹³² Supra 60.

excessively lumbering, making it impossible to manage proceeded with speculation by transnational partnerships". They additionally demonstrated inadequate in creating nations that do not have the way to secure their TK. Then again, TRIPS arrangements' "have apparently made a larger number of issues than arrangements, in this way insufficient as an instrument for tending to the insurance of TK." The other significant explanation behind their incapability is the contention between the two traditions, which is examined in detail in the relationship amongst CBD and TRIPS¹³³.

3.4 Clashing Privileges of IPR and TK holders:-

Article 28 of the TRIPS provides that, a patent presents select rights to its proprietor and keeps outsiders from making, utilizing, offering available to be purchased, offering or bringing in the protected item and process. TRIPS perceives IPR as private right which frequently builds deterrents to the trade or stream of learning and their utilization of creation.¹³⁴ On a legitimate premise, CBD has recognized such arrangements that are exemplified in Article 8 and Article 15 of the CBD. In any case, such commitment and the way of group learning and rights are not perceived in TRIPS.¹³⁵

3.5 Advantage sharing course of action:-

A key part of CBD is that, it perceives the sovereign privileges of the states over their biodiversity and information.¹³⁶ This gives the express; the privilege to control get to and

¹³³ Martin Khor, *Intellectual Property, Biodiversity and Sustainable Development (Resolving the Difficult Issues)* (Zee Book Publisher, Landon, 2ndedn, 2002).

¹³⁴ Supra 60.

¹³⁵ Supra 60.

¹³⁶ Supra 60.

to uphold its rights on courses of action for sharing advantages.¹³⁷ Get to where allowed: ought to be on commonly concurred terms (Article 15.5) and might subject to earlier educated assent (Article 15.5). Furthermore, nations giving the resources ought to completely take part in the logical research (Article 15.6).¹³⁸ Every nation ought to take authoritative, regulatory or strategy measures with the point of sharing reasonable and impartial consequences of innovative work, and the advantage emerging from business and other use of genetic resources with the contracting parties giving such resources.¹³⁹

In any case, under TRIPS there is no such arrangement for the patent holder, on cases including organic resources or related learning, to impart advantages to the state or groups in nations of birthplace.¹⁴⁰

3.6 Earlier Information Consent:-

Article 15.4 of the CBD perceives the arrangement of earlier educated assent and expresses that, entrance to genetic resources might subject to earlier educated assent of the contracting party giving such resources, unless generally dictated by that gathering. Along these lines, specialists of natural resources are obliged to give adequate data of their work and ought to get assent from the proprietors.¹⁴¹ A few nations have revered this arrangement in their administrative system for the security of TK. For example

¹³⁷Supra 60.

¹³⁸Supra 60.

¹³⁹ Supra 60.

¹⁴⁰Supra 60.

¹⁴¹Supra 60.

Africa has set up Organization of African Unity (OAU) Model Legislation, on Access to Biological Resources and Protection of Community Rights.¹⁴²

India sanctioned its Indian Biodiversity Act, 2002, that perceives the arrangement of earlier educated assent through National Biodiversity Authority (NBA). This power goes about as a guard dog over the natural resources and makes it compulsory for the remote scientists to unveil the reason and use of the exploration.¹⁴³ By the by, in TRIPS, there is no such arrangement for patent candidates or different IPRs over organic resources for acquiring the earlier educated assent.¹⁴⁴

The requirement for ensuring (TK) has been recognized in dialog and transactions under the umbrella of various between legislative associations that arrangement with biodiversity, the earth, indigenous people groups' rights, human rights, sustenance and horticulture, among others. It has, in any case, demonstrated hard to touch base at an accord on the correct methodology that can serve the necessities and cravings of Indigenous and Local Communities (ILCs) in their monetary and social investment. The article analyzes the objectives for the security of TK and investigates the modalities of TK insurance at the global level for directing the control of, access to and usage of biodiversity connected with it. It is contended that any methodology of TK assurance ought to join guarded and positive insurance that address holes in ensuring TK. Security of TK ought to, subsequently, include distinguishing distinctive modalities, including those in light of IP, to fit the nature what's more, utilization of TK specifically settings. The article puts forth a defence for a move in system for ensuring TK by embracing

¹⁴²Supra 60.

¹⁴³Supra 60.

¹⁴⁴Supra 60.

pluralistic modalities that address the security needs of ILCs, contingent upon the reason and the setting in which the learning is polished.

The assurance of TK is no longer a matter of national setting. There has arisen a need for worldwide concern over the issue and development to structure for protecting TK in a more regularizing structure. Obviously, the lawmaking procedure of pertinent global legitimate administrations is as yet progressing. By and by, it remains untimely to foresee the possible result. Given the generally delicate law character of most universal instruments on TK, it appears to be a long way from clear whether States may truly fit in with the tenets. The impact of those "laws" might be even less conspicuous, particularly when a capable component to direct or screen the national authorization of TK orders is still sparse. However, the appropriation of a coupling ABS by the CBD may make things diverse. The questionable worldwide legitimate status of those TK holders, for the most part indigenous people groups, would leave the security of TK to the prudence of every State. To be sure, the ABS Protocol of the CBD, if run effectively, would maintain the security of biodiversity-related TK and safeguard the enthusiasm of indigenous and nearby gatherings holding such TK. Its adequacy is by the by bound to matters of ABS in regards to related TK. The criticalness of the WIPO report on TK security can't be overemphasized. The work can be regarded as universal agreement to shield TK from the point of view of a cautious approach. Nonetheless, as showed, it would not be conceivable to make the record lawfully official, halfway in light of the fact that the expressions utilized as a part of the draft have shown that it might end up being a shape of wilful rules. The WTO assentions, including the TRIPS, are the most compulsory of the current universal administrations. Their implementation and debate settlement

instruments are additionally moderately successful. The present Doha Round Negotiations, be that as it may, don't make a specific plan for TK insurance. There has likewise been solid resistance against the way to deal with include the CBD components into the exposure prerequisite for patent applications. It is in this way exceptionally far-fetched that the WTO may effectively add to the security of TK at the present stage. Most of current TK lawmaking stays in a preparatory stage and the proposed records are to a great extent of delicate law character. Be that as it may, their draft shape and non-restricting nature, for example, the WIPO and U.N. instruments, don't keep them from giving helpful reference to national TK enactment. It is likewise unsurprising that the elaboration and conclusion of these TK instruments may advance and upgrade the mindfulness and political will to take part in residential TK law and strategy making. It might in this way be untimely to cast an unequivocal judgment on the accomplishment of the present global lawmaking on TK. Whether the development would be a helpful vehicle or only a vacant box anticipates assist evaluation. Then again, the expansion of worldwide TK lawmaking uncovers a potential inconsistency among pertinent universal standards. To improve shared strength and maintain a strategic distance from struggle, it is exceedingly attractive to explain an instrument to fit and accommodate those lawmaking vehicles. While the arrangement of a solitary extensive global foundation for the improvement of TK law likely stays remote or unreasonable, the present and persistent harmonization among TK lawmaking instruments ends up being basic and must be reinforced.

Chapter Four

Traditional Knowledge Protection Law in Brazil and Australia

“Traditional Knowledge” (TK) variously referred to as “Indigenous knowledge”, “local knowledge”, “folk knowledge”¹⁴⁵ etc, broadly refers to that body of knowledge developed by local communities over time in response to the needs of their specific local environment. World Intellectual Property Organisation (WIPO) has defined Traditional knowledge as a living body of knowledge passed on from generation to generation within a community. It often forms part of a people’s cultural and spiritual identity.

Further, World Intellectual Property Organisation (WIPO) work on traditional knowledge has also addressed three important areas, i.e

- 1) Traditional knowledge in the strict sense (technical know-how, practices, skills, and innovations related to, biodiversity, agriculture or health);
- 2) Traditional cultural expressions/expressions of folklore (cultural manifestations such as music, art, designs, symbols and performances); and
- 3) Genetic resources (genetic material of actual or potential value found in plants, animals and micro-organisms).

Lately, Traditional learning has begun gathering significance and has swung to licensed innovation laws for assurance. Conventional Knowledge is essentially created around biodiversity, and constitutes the pillar of the sustenance and job security of provincial and

tribal groups the world over yet particularly with regards to creating nations like India; it holds the way to their confidence, manageable development and advancement. It regularly constitutes understanding of the group concerning the encompassing environment. The security of such learning, advancements and practices of indigenous and nearby groups has been getting noteworthy consideration at the universal and local stage as of late. This is because of the developing acknowledgment of the significant guarantee that such information holds. As indicated by the World Health Organization (WHO) 80% of the populace in creating nations depends on conventional prescription for different monetary, social and social reasons. The interest for such restorative plants is expanding both in creating and the created nations on the grounds that the illness therapeutic property of its subordinates are non-opiate having no reactions.

Assurance for licensed innovation stretches out to scholarly manifestations keeping in mind the end goal to boost development, and relies on the way of the work and its "qualities". The primary sorts of licensed innovation law are: copyright, which ensures imaginative works; patent, which secures development; competitive innovation, which ensures data not by and large known or promptly ascertainable that is important to the mystery holder; and trademark, which secures marking and other selective properties of items and administrations.

Nonetheless, *sui generis* statutes exist in numerous nations that stretch out licensed innovation insurance to matter that does not meet trademark definitions. *Sui generis* (truly signifying 'of its own kind') laws in individual nations give one road of TK IPR insurance.

The Convention on Biological Diversity particularly article 8j and 15 address on traditional knowledge and access to genetic resources and the benefits out from the utilization of its resources. In Brazil Provisional Measure establishing the rules for the access of genetic heritage and the access of associated traditional knowledge. In April 2002, The Ministry of the Environment of a national competent authority the Genetic Heritage Management Council (CGEN). In 2003, the new government enforce the MP in Brazil.¹⁴⁶

In 2001 the President of Brazil further give more emphasis for the proper implement of the provision of provisional measure.

Where some countries have developed a courses of action on ABS for regular and genetic resources in their legal framework and administrative structures. However some countries that have national ABS structure have access to genetics resources sharing at the national level. Some countries have adjusted on ABS to make different law relating to biodiversity and genetic resources. To upgrade an effective enforcement of ABS, a suitable legislation is frame by different nation according to their policy for protection of genetic resources and associate traditional knowledge.

On observing the section on the Convention on Biological Diversity, major countries have confronted with the choice and execution of the national ABS laws and measures. According to the CBD secretariat only 60 countries has so far make laws and take measures to access to their genetic resources and fair and equitable sharing of benefits out

¹⁴⁶ Cristina Maria do AmaralAzevedo, *Regulation to access to genetic resources and associated traditional knowledge in Brazil*, Biota Neotropica. Vol 5 no.1 Campinas 2005.

of their utilization. On 18-29 October 2010, tenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity was held in Nagoya, Japan.

Universal legitimate structure on ensuring Traditional Knowledge initially expressed on account of 'bio robbery' and has since come to be a critical issue for different reasons too. A few reasons have been advanced to clarify why universal activity is expected to address these issues. i.e.

1. Common financial intrigue
2. Equity
3. Environment
4. Food security
5. Culture, and
6. Development

Today at the International level, the legitimate structure for assurance of Plant Genetic Resources, Folklore, customary learning and Intellectual Property Rights is spread over a scope of worldwide traditions: the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), the Agreement on Trade Related Intellectual Property (TRIPs) of the World Trade Organization (WTO) and the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA).

Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) in 1992 interestingly perceived the sovereign right of country states to misuse their own particular natural and hereditary assets which must be, in compatibility to the national ecological approaches. It is the main worldwide assertion that throws a commitment on part states to regard, protect and look after information, developments and practices of indigenous and neighbourhood groups under Article 8 (j)(ibid) as it were under the CBD, each nation would have the sovereign ideal to decide and actualize get to and use of their conventional learning.

There are basically two sorts of assurance gave in CBD which are:

1) Defensive assurance: means to prevent individuals outside the group from procuring licensed innovation rights over customary information. India, for instance, has accumulated a searchable database of conventional solution that can be utilized as confirmation of earlier workmanship by patent analysts when surveying patent applications.

2) Positive security: is the giving of rights that engage groups to advance their conventional information, control its uses and advantage from its business misuse.

Brazil for the Protection of Genetic Resources and Traditional Law

The CGEN with the Ministry of Environment holds meetings between religious bodies along with the other 19 bodies or components of the Federal Administration, each of them holding the benefit to vote. This includes the Ministry of Environment; Ministry of Science and Technology; Ministry of Health, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Supply; Ministry of Defence, Ministry of Culture, Ministry of External

Relations, Ministry of Development, Industry and Foreign Trade, Brazilian Institute for the Environment and Renewable Natural Resources (IBAMA), Research Institute Botanical Garden of Rio de Janeiro, National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPQ), National Institute for Amazon Research, EmílioGoeldi Museum of Pará; Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation (EMPBARC), Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, National Foundation for Indigenous People (FUNAI), INPI Palmares Cultural Foundation and the Brazilian Association of Biotechnology Companies (ABRABI) and the Brazilian Society for the Advancement of Science (SBPC) holding a standing welcome to CGEN.

According to Chapter 8 of the Provisional Measure¹⁴⁷, the course can be rebuked with different sorts of disciplines, fines, reallocation of tests and things, suspension of the offer of things, closing down establishments, suspension or cancelation of the registry, patent, allow or endorsement, denial of contracting with the overall public association, and impediment of cost rousing powers. The authorized development rights application strategy in Brazil may work as a checking framework. Article 31 of the Provisional Measure¹⁴⁸ it requires that the reason for the genetic resources and the related Traditional Knowledge may be resolved when applying for Intellectual Property Rights.

¹⁴⁷ Brazil Provisional Measure No. 2.186-16 of August 23, 2001, (Provisional Measure on Access to Genetic Resources and Traditional Knowledge), chapter viii, (administrative sections) Article 30. Any Act or omission that contravenes the terms of this Provisional Measure and other relevant legal provisions shall be considered an administrative offence against the genetic heritage and associated traditional knowledge.

¹⁴⁸ Brazil Provisional Measure No.2.186-16 of august 23, 2001 chapter ix, (final provisions) Article 31. The grant of industrial property rights by the competent bodies for a process or product obtained using samples of components of the genetic heritage is contingent on the observance of this provisional measures, the applicant bring obliged to specify the origin of the genetic material and the associated traditional knowledge, as the case may be.

The Provisional Measures certify the access to Genetic Resources and related Traditional Knowledge with ideal standpoint sharing contracts. IBAMA is also the reliable authority for affirming access to GR with the true objective of consistent research with no potential for money related use, but exclude access to related TK. Right when access to GR is away for research with the potential for commercialization or monetary use, or in case it incorporates access to related TK, the Council is the careful.

If access to TK held by indigenous social orders or standard gatherings is incorporated the endorsement of get to depends on upon their past calm accommodation, without which the Council cannot yield endorsement. Exactly when there is a prospect of business use, preference offering assertion contract must be set apart to the indigenous society or neighbourhood bunches.

The Brazilian authorization portrays access to related TK as securing of information on individual or total data or practice identified with the inherited legacy, from an indigenous gathering or close-by gathering with the true objective of consistent research, mechanical headway or bio-prospecting with a view to its present day or other application. The Provisional Measures assembles an area isolated by its conditions including Quilombo society which was created along dynamic times having its own specific customs and jam in its money related issue. And a broad variation of the thought is given by Decree 6040/2007, with the establishment of the National Policy for Sustainable Development of Traditional Peoples and Communities and whose definition is vague to the draft ABS law. Indigenous People and Communities are socially isolated, having their own specific sorts of social affiliation and basic resources as a condition for

their social, religious, genealogical and budgetary expansion, using data, progressions and practices that are delivered and transmitted through tradition.

Under the present law sharing contracts are required when certification is requested regarding access to Genetic Resources and Traditional Knowledge for driving business or monetary use. Transitory measure also gives access to Genetic Resources for bio-prospecting purposes. A Presidential declaration (6159-2007) insists that, if the provider agrees, the preferred sharing contract could be drawn up and set apart at a later date or before the change of any business thing or patent application. The CGEN has yielded an access to Traditional Knowledge with the ultimate objective of legitimate research with the prior consent of the indigenous social orders affiliations. In 2006 the Council asserted Resolution 21 which exempts four sorts of research and coherent activities for certification.

The Brazil ABS establishment is still in advance and a couple draft bills have been discussed by accomplices. Considering the need to upgrade controls. In 2006, the CGEN began an extensive speculation of close-by and routine gatherings, academic and regulatory divisions. The results will be used to portray the present sanctioning amplify that will supplant current MP 2.186-16. The meeting technique incorporate questions related to the confounding issues of Traditional Knowledge and Access to Benefit Sharing.

To upgrade the present system, the Brazilian Government prepared a draft Presidential Decree that will develop a specific strategy to regularize certification and administrative

finances are relied upon without the CGEN. In the draft, such fines would not have any kind of effect when candidates filled deliberately to regularize the certification.

On 15 September 2009, the Ministry of Environment and the Ministry of Science and Technology agreed to a Technical Cooperation Arrangement which permits the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development to endorse access to the Genetic Resources for research purposes. The Ministries also goes for reviving the legitimate frameworks in those sales that exclude access to related TK.

The MP is supplemented with different Decrees and Resolutions making the legitimate structure extraordinarily mind boggling. To the extent execution, a creating number of licenses have been permitted particularly for non businessinvestigate; besides for business research or business look at utilizing TK.

Few of the national and common ABS measures contain clear consistence related course of action. As communicated over, the Protocol provides a level of extension to parties with respect to the sorts of measures to meet their consistence duties. The Protocol also predicates the countries a checkpoints to screen and overhaul straight imposition on the use of genetics resources. In this way the countries can set up attractive consistence instruments according to their national measures with a particularobjective to offer consistence courses of action of the Protocol.

Duty regarding resources ought to be fleshed out with the objectives to meet the Protocol's dedication related to genetic resources utilization, controlled by International Law Commission. The Customers of genetic resources shouldverify that a provider has

the right to give access to resources and the people who have private or distinctive rights or residency over the land or resources. Thus, request of ownership and residency, have a basic bearing on the things of sound judgment of ABS and are essential segments of national authorization and game plan that lawmaking bodies can use to "choose access" to resources.

The Protocol to some degree contain a far reaching importance of utilization of genetic resources, which essentially gets huge sorts of use of innate resources. Furthermore, this definition is supplemented with the expression of "subordinate" which described as a "bio-chemical compound coming to fruition due to the innate expression or processing arrangement of characteristic or genetic resources, paying attention to the likelihood that it doesn't contain valuable units of heredity." The Protocol sets up an importance of utilization of genetic resources in the definition under Article 2. The protocol article however do not clarify duties upon principles and sharing of resources between the countries. The Nagoya Protocol also portrays the issue of introduction of clear duties upon private social events. Furthermore, the Article 2 is not absolutely clear and evident which fall under the degree of the dedication. Thus a measure of work is required to set up a specific end goal to ensure that Minimum Asset Tax been approved. Works should be endeavoured towards the model of contracts/arrangements which would add to ensuring the sensible and reasonable sharing of focal points.

Executing the courses of action of Article 8 (Special Considerations) will require honest to goodness and institutional work. Not each one of the country's different among business and non business look at and in case they do, to make sense of if an application

is for major research or for business one has ended up being troublesome. As to and use of all the genetic resources for sustenance and agribusiness not a lot of countries give specific strategies or have made particular conditions (Peru), except for in the conditions where they are people from the FAO International Treaty and this particular believed is unequivocally tended to in the ABS measure. The issue of accelerated access to pathogens is an absolutely new issue for most countries, suggesting that there are no instances of specific measures” with respect to an ABS system. In any case, more wide authorization regarding emergencies could similarly apply.

Fears of bio-piracy combined with the goals of the CBD prodded extensive exertion in Brazil and somewhere else for the foundation of a reasonable and fair framework for managing conventional learning related with hereditary assets. Brazil made a sensibly only system on a fundamental level, however cloud terms and the establishment of various associations with endorsement to execute biodiversity approach makes strife and confuse. Fortunately, CGEN is the arranging association and can join focuses of existing associations to secure traditional data more feasibly through existing ensured advancement systems. CGEN excessively appears, all in all, to improve rules for authoritative expressions, for instance, "earlier assent," in spite of the way that the principles require to be attempted in this present reality and further refined. For customary data the originator is normally dark and baffling. A sui generis course of action of authorized advancement security of standard data is the ideal, however making such a system may be impossible most ideal situation and will take significant time and effort, most ideal situation. Brazil and distinctive countries rich in both inherited resources in addition, routine learning can work inside the current authorized

advancement system until one all the all the more satisfying to ordinary data is set up. The best plans to keep the allocation of standard data is utilizing protected revelation, which would set up before and invalidate patentability. While the refutation of patentability seems to wreck a framework for the generation of wealth, if standard learning is stolen, ordinary learning holders would not benefit regardless. Searchable, accessible databases have the extra preferred standpoint of recording standard learning before it vanishes as indigenous people adapt into present day society. Brazil can similarly enhance use of other existing parts of authorized development affirmation, for instance, a more expansive use of prized equations and a more canny engagement with the Patent Cooperation Treaty.

Aside from the previously mentioned issues individuals neglect to understand the estimation of customary information. There exists the worry over the basic equity to shield and moderate and even advantage from one's own particular legacy and forestall bio robbery. Facilitate, the cutting edge lawful position of Intellectual Property Rights permit creations for restraining infrastructure which stipends insurance for a restricted period to innovations and unique works by named people or organizations however not group rights and customary information in that capacity leaving the learning among the general population defenceless against abuse. Conventional learning thusly does not fit into the present parent definition given under TRIPS. The path forward for insurance of customary is genuinely a worry and a vital issue in light of the fact that the world may have understood the significance of conventional information yet different issues identified with security of customary learning still should be tended to.

The aims and objectives of Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) the Nagoya Protocol gotten at the tenth meeting of the Conference of the parties held in Nagoya, Japan from 18-29 October 2010, provides the equitable sharing of benefits, utilization and use of genetic resources with appropriate trade considering all rights to development and legitimate financing.

Since 1993, various countries and some regions have developed an access to benefit sharing for normal and innate resources through laws or administrative measures. A broad assortment of instruments have been controlled that access to normal and genetic resources and preferred standpoint sharing at the national level. These systems are crucial terms with the Conventional on Biological Diversity and place them into practice. Drawing foundation from the use of laws and courses of action on ABS is recognizing differing choices and approaches to manage its unmistakable perspectives. The Parties saw the significance of association with ABS structures in the terms of reference they set for the game plan of the Nagoya Protocol, which drew an existing genuine and diverse instruments at national level relating to ABS, including access contracts, experiences with their execution, and consistence and necessity frameworks.

Nagoya Protocol of the Convention on Biological Diversity, provides basic foundation for setting up Access to Benefit Sharing (ABS) to share their experience and utilization of genetic resources. This survey reviews the ABS measures in countries from Latin America and the Caribbean, Asia, the South Pacific, Africa, Europe and North America and also the common measures of the Andean Community, ASEAN, the African Union notwithstanding talks in the European Union and the Nordic countries. It investigates the

imperative laws and courses of action and their plans on degree, prior taught consent, normally agreed terms on favourable position sharing, consistence, checking and approval that have been permitted or seized in the Access to Benefits Sharing measures. It also demonstrates a talk and conclusions on the essential definitive troubles to executing the Nagoya Protocol on ABS.

Australia for the protection of Genetic Resources and Traditional knowledge

Australia has endeavoured to join licensed innovation securities of patent, trademark, and copyright law inside Indigenous people group to ensure customary information and culture. For instance, Australia permits patent applications to be entered to protect customary information of plants.

Australia has included numerous cases of how standards of viable cooperation and organization can give a premise to building up a menu of projects and undertakings created in organization with indigenous Australians, which incorporate wide interconnected objectives however concentrate on the advancement and utilization of traditional knowledge. Vital to this are projects which help the intergenerational exchange of information, developments and works on, including indigenous dialect programs, and in addition projects to help indigenous people groups to stay associated with "country". The Australian accommodation additionally stresses the requirement for adaptability in national methodologies concerning the execution of sui generis frameworks and that such frameworks can be much more extensive than lawful assurance, completely mirroring the objectives of Article 8(j) to regard, safeguard and advance conventional learning. The menu of projects and tasks gave in the Australian

accommodation would propose that the present core interest on sui generis frameworks for the legitimate assurance of customary learning inside the Convention could be extended or refocused to incorporate sui generis frameworks for the protection, support and advancement of customary learning. Australia alongside the EU and its part States review the order of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) in regards to legitimate insurance, especially protected innovation assurance and its late work on building up a glossary of terms and the requirement for the Convention's work to stay reliable and in amicability with the work of WIPO. Australia likewise noticed that in the advancement of a glossary, it ought to be remembered that a few terms have distinctive implications in various national settings and don't have generally application definitions.

In Australia, these worries have been showed in five primary territories:

- (a) The encroachment of the copyright of individual craftsmen;
- (b) The duplicating of works not approved by native gatherings and groups;
- (c) The allotment of Aboriginal pictures and subjects;
- (d) The socially unseemly utilization of Aboriginal pictures and styles by non-Aboriginal makers; and
- (e) The uncompensated seizure of customary learning.

“The developing regard for the possible valuation of Australia's biodiversity states its origin of nutrition, therapeutic, healing and automatic substances and the awareness of assuring that Australia profits by such uses can be seen as the National Strategy for the

Conservation of Australia's Biological Diversity. Assuring the collective and financial compensations of the use of genetic material and substances got from Australia's usual divergent abilities gather to Australia. The Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act of 1999¹⁴⁹ (EPBCA) assembled the common structure for future especially more specific guidelines on access to genetic assets. The section states that the guidelines may give control of access to natural resources in Commonwealth areas and further that these guidelines may cover provisions on the fair sharing of benefits evolving from the use of biological resources to the help get to, deny to or allowing, and the positions and situations of such get to. With the aim to design and controls under Section 301 and implementing the idea on access to natural resources. A Survey into Access to Biological Resources in Commonwealth Areas was started in December 1999. The significance of the Survey was a report covering suggestions on the production of an ABS framework. Consequently a reasonable legal system in the Australian government structure the fourteen Commonwealth State and Territory Ministers of Australia establishing the Natural Resource Management Ministerial Council, comprised the Nationally Consistent Approach for Access to and Utilization of Australia's Native Genetic and Biochemical Resources (ANGBR) on October 11, 2002. The Nationally Consistent Approach sets general rule that must be associated when making or estimating ABS frameworks set up inside Australian. These principles incorporate conviction, straightforwardness and responsibility for encouraging bio discovery, reasonable utilization of organic resources and fair sharing of benefits. Under Australia's Federal

¹⁴⁹ Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999, Act No. 91 of 1999 as amended Act. No. 107 of 2010, Prepared by the office of Legislative Drafting and Publishing, Attorney General's, Department, Canberra.

framework the current proprietorship rights to local biological resources rely on upon whether they are found in Commonwealth, State or Territory government terrains or waters, indigenous grounds, freehold or leasehold lands. Access to biological resources in Commonwealth territories is managed by the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2000¹⁵⁰. In the EPBC Regulations for the access to genetic resources need to apply with the Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts (DEWHA) aimed at permit to develop the biological assets of local species for innovative work of any genetic resources including or contained in the natural asset. Authorisations for access to biological resources are accessible for either business or non-business purposes. On the off chance that the natural resources are for business purposes that won't be accepted until the candidate has gone into a benefit informing consent to the supplier of the biological resources. The directions require the earlier educated assent of the indigenous proprietor or local title holder, where get to will be to genetic resources on indigenous individual's territory. An advantage sharing understanding must accommodate sensible advantage sharing courses of action, including assurance for and esteeming of any indigenous individuals learning to be utilized. DEWHA has created display contracts as a manual for help parties creating advantage sharing understandings. Benefits is to measure by the gatherings to the agreement and can incorporate obligations to preservation and logical information and in addition to any income produced by the commercialisation of Intellectual Property recognised with the genetic benefit where this is appropriate. For grants for non-business purposes must give a legal admission stating that the candidate does not mean nor permit the accumulation to be utilized for business

¹⁵⁰ Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2000, Available at www.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/cth/consol_reg/epabcr2000697/ .

purposes. That provide details concerning the significances of the examination. They offer an ordered copy of every sample to an Australian open organization that is an ordered documentation and do not permit any exploration for business reasons. The Australian framework has been created as an open structure where clients can check a record of licenses that have been issued and tests gathered under those grants. Till February 10, 2010. Sixty-three licenses have been allotted by the Protected Areas Policy Section under Part 8A of the EPBC Regulations since December 2005. These authorisations have been allotted for non-business purposes. The EPBC Regulations also give an instrument to released current administrations that are reliable with the EPBC Regulations to minimize duplication. Identifications that carry current grant courses of action inside the advantage sharing plan of the Australian Government have been made with the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, the Austrian National Botanic Gardens, the Australian Institute of Marine Sciences and the Australian Antarctic Division. More than 400 grants have been issued under Part 8A of the EPBC Regulations and different administrations authorize under the Regulations. Now seven ABS contracts completed for associations engaged with business look into. Four of these are with Australian Public Institutions and three with remote research associations. Further three contracts are under thought with Australian research foundations. The regularly agreed terms for benefit sharing took after the model contracts gave by DEWHA. DEWHA keeps on working with state and territory purviews to guarantee their methodologies and approximately expectable. The enactment covering Commonwealth, the Queensland and Northern Territory Governments have to set up with Victoria and Tasmania as of

executing lawfully measures to actualize Australia's broadly predictable way to deal with
ABS¹⁵¹”

¹⁵¹ Tania Bubela and E. Richard Gold, *genetic Resources and Traditional Knowledge: case Studies and Conflicting Interest* 373, Edward Elgar Publication, London, 2012.

Chapter Five

Conclusion and Suggestions

Undoubtedly, TK is a pertinent issue for the contemporary generations as well as for the generations to come. TK is in essence shared experiences, very often providing solutions to varied problems. It is central to the themes of self reliance, sustainable growth and overall development of a community. Yet, contemporary International Intellectual Property Rights (IIPR) regime does not protect TK to the fullest extent. In this milieu, several loopholes may be encountered.

For instance, Article 8(j) of the CBD gives recognition to the value of indigenous and local knowledge, rights of their holders, their innovations and practices. TRIPS had been consistently considering the rights of the individuals, irrespective of their nature, whether legal or natural. However, it does not recognise the customs and needs of traditional and indigenous communities, their ownership of such knowledge. Furthermore, TRIPS limits the liberty of a country or a community to opt for the way forward to deal with protection and usage of IPR is allowed by CBD through Sovereignty Rights. Also, it is imperative in this context to note, such knowledge is beyond the parameters of existing Intellectual Property Protection (IPP). The Neem and Turmeric controversies are essential sources to this argument.

Over the years, IPR have been associated as individual and monopolistic rights to ensure creative, novel and utilitarian reflections of human persona. In this fashion, TK underrated the social expressions, standard practices and home cures. Nonetheless, the

necessity to protect the vulnerable TK came to the vanguard with introduction of CBD in 1992.

Still, TK does not have a universally accredited definition. Article 8(j) of CBD provides for each party country as per its municipal law ought to move towards the protection, support and supportable use of assets pertinent to the TK of an indigenous group. They are to advance more extensive utilization of TK with earlier endorsement and association of holder(s) of the information. Certainty that ventures are developing TK without earlier educated assent of the learning holder(s) is a worry some issue, similar to the absence of Access to Benefit Sharing (ABS) scheme.

The survey of this paper presumes that protection of the learning of indigenous plant raiser gathering is of most outrageous worry to meet both adjacent and overall needs. There is a need of confidence for these gatherings especially when decentralized self organization is being viewed as a panacea to the social and monetary irregularities. Indigenous plant raiser bunches should be given more commitments in the technique and essential initiative structures. Clearly patent kind of authorized advancement security which guarantees private rights and makes first class rights is verifiably far from the lifestyle and ethics of the nearby and indigenous plant raiser bunches who have confidence in free sharing of their knowledge. Clearly industry, with extended support from governments, is quickly setting up control over plant inherited resources and related data utilizing IPRs. Be that as it may, impenetrability to this assault on gathering rights has been distinctive and test. For the most part, societies are continuously losing control over their own plants and are generally speaking logically abused for their understanding.

As care among social events, gathers and even governments increases, and as those impacted end up being more dealt with, the tide has begun to turn. There is however a lot of crucial work to be done among NGOs and people's improvements remembering the ultimate objective to amass a more grounded social oblige against the creating effect of trade and IPR over genetic resources and standard data.

India lacks a *sui generis* regime to protect TK *in toto*. The existing arrangement for protecting TK is in parts. India does provide for a defensive protection mechanism for securing TK. However, such protection is less effective when compared to positive mechanism.

Besides legislative pronouncements, less formal customary laws also play a pivotal role to in protecting TK and genetic resources. Native customary laws are inadequate to protect TK and genetic resources in India, precisely in species rich states such as Sikkim since, traditional intrinsic practices promote sharing within limited jurisdiction and enforcement outside their communities *per se*. Such identified customary principles could aid to further positive protection. Nonetheless, a diligent harmonized powerful defensive protection mechanism warranted by due legislative pronouncement is an insistent requirement.

In contemporary pan Indian scenario, such institutions are in their nascence. The establishments, for instance the National Biodiversity Authority instituted under the Protection of Plant Variety and Farmers' Right Act, 2001 is still in its embryonic phase.

Broadly, the three Acts fall under the dedication of various organizations. Ministry of Commerce and Industry handles the licenses related issues, Ministry of Farming handles the plant course of action issues and the Ministry of Environment and Backwoods handles the biodiversity issues. From this time forward when choices are taken at the national level, it is likely that contention of area will create as there is an undeniable nonappearance of coordination between the different laws. The nonattendance of coordination between the differing Acts needed to secure standard information can be ascribed both to national and general components. While the Plant Variety Act was developed as a reaction to the types of progress in TRIPS, the Biodiversity Act was endorsed in perspective of India's obligations under the CBD. Ignoring the way that the two settlements pass on same weight in honest to goodness terms, there is a nonappearance of coordination between the two. Besides, no section has been advanced yet to choose issues creating out of an event of disagreement between the two. While the push to address the issue of security of customary information has prompted to the establishment of the three Acts, the endeavours also are a reflection to re-examine property rights for standard information holders. While the three Acts declare India's control over its regular assets and related learning, wrangles concerning the state in picking access to characteristic assets and good position sharing thereof are still on.. These arrangements would guarantee retribution of bio-burglary in India and fair-minded sharing of focal points. Regardless, this won't shield people from hunting down licenses on standard information other than utilizing standard learning without earlier educated assent and good position partaking in different nations. This is showed up by the (Kani) condition when Nutri Science, an American affiliation chose a trademark on Jeevani, the

remedy made with Indian standard information given by the (Kanis). As arrangements of Article 8(j) of CBD are at hazard to national endorsing, India is of the see that securing benefits creating out of the use of standard information identified with biodiversity past national edges requires attestation of terms of purposes of enthusiasm sharing paying little mind to the way that a general instrument. Recalling a definitive target to guarantee that national level frameworks for ensuring routine learning and good position sharing are viably finished for utilization of standard information outside the nation, overall attestation ought to be given to such national level structures. The onus of great 0position sharing must in like way be shared by the client nation to make an empowering space and affirmation through administrative measures remembering the true objective to guarantee consistence of earlier trained assent stipulations, for guaranteeing reasonable sharing of purposes of enthusiasm as envisioned in the CBD. While the push to address the issue of affirmation of common information has incited to the endorsement of the three Acts, the endeavours in like way are a reflection to rethink property rights for standard information holders.

Conformist approach is necessary to further the characteristics of TK in analogous groups being a key element in their socio-physical environment. In such capacity, it is a fundamental necessity to protect TK. The endeavours to neglect TK for modern pecuniary benefits may lead to appropriation over the interests of its legitimate overseers. Albeit such imminent threats, it is imperative to foster and ensure innovative ways for sensible enhancement in accordance to interests of the holder(s) of TK.

Preservation and progression of the social intrinsic practices of parallel groups is of *prima facie* importance for developing nations. The rich traditional heritage of TK and genetic resources constitute an integral part in their overall characteristics traits such as social insurance, sustenance, security, culture, religion, environment, exchange and developmental dynamics. However, desolately the lucrative benefit of TK and allied resources is under impending threat in several countries.

The predicaments of doubt and uncertainty loom over the contemporary setup that such knowledge is being used and licensed by outsiders without earlier erudite assent of the holder(s) of TK. Also, few, presumptuous unwavering advantages are imparted to the groups where this erudition began and continues.

Such concerns have pressed TK to the frontiers of global preparations thereby stimulating vivacious civil arguments to advance due safeguards to ensure diligent economic use of TK. The assiduous reporting and conscientious digitizing of data pertaining to TK with a view to create a repository such as Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (TKDL) in India is a viable option against misappropriation by outsiders.

Notably, India is a pioneer in this context. Besides revising relevant legislations such as the Patent and Designs Act, 1911, India has also endeavoured to enact modern laws with the primary intent to protect IPRs in domestic as well as global sphere. The significant laws in force which have been sanctioned by India in this milieu are:

1. The Indian Copyright Act, 1957
2. The Patents Act, 1970 (As amended in 2005)

3. The Trademarks Act, 1999
4. The Geographical Indications of Goods (Registration and Protection) Act, 1999
5. The Designs Act, 2000
6. The Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers' Rights Act, 2001
7. The Biological Diversity Act, 2002

In the year 2008, India turned out with Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (TKDL) with an end goal to ensure her customary learning and conventional social expression. Likewise, the office of electronic recording (to put it plainly, e-documenting) of utilizations has been presented since July, 2007 to align the Indian Intellectual Property Regime with worldwide prerequisites. Advance, the Indian Innovation Bill, 2008; the Protection and Utilization of Public Funded Intellectual Property Bill, 2008; and the Copyright (Amendment) Bill, 2010 are probably going to give more quality to the IPR administration in India. In the event that the Government is of the supposition that the candidate is looking for concede of patent for a development which is imperative with view purpose of wellbeing or resistance of the nation, the Government may coordinate the individual approved to keep the application and all records correlated thereto as a 279 mystery until after such time as the Government esteems fit. India is rich in hereditary assets and related conventional learning and has been recognized as one of the nations with biodiversity. Conventional learning has been utilized for quite a long time by Indian indigenous and nearby groups and has been the pillar of their reality, particularly in key segments of nourishment and wellbeing. Moreover, TK additionally assumes an imperative part in the preservation of biodiversity in the nation. For example, some tribal populaces in India, similar to the Garo and Khasi tribes of North Eastern India have made

"hallowed forests" in backwoods regions that assistance to ration the woodland and its tenants. Essentially the Onges of the Little Andaman Island in the Andaman and Nicobars and the Cholanaickantribals of Kerala have formulated expound social methods to ration and economically abuse common assets. India, aside from her plentifully supplied natural assets, is likewise home to rich conventions of specialties.

Customary Knowledge under the Constitution of India

The Constitution of India does not particularly address the issue of confirmation of standard data. Article 48(A) of the Constitution suggests the State's dedication to secure and improve the earth and shield the woodlands and regular existence of the country. Article 51 (A) (g) Forces a commitment upon the inhabitants of India to secure and upgrade the normal living space, tallying forests, lakes, streams and common life. As regards confirmation of TCEs, Article 29 of the Constitution sees as an "essential thing Right" (Part III) the protection of the lifestyle of minorities. According to Article 29, "any area of the nationals dwelling in the region of India or any part thereof having a particular dialect, script or culture of its own should have the privilege to ration the same." It is possible to secure the old stories of the unmistakable social events in India in light of this course of action. In any case, the vast majority of the TCEs existing and manhandled now in India have a place with little gatherings who don't go under the degree of the already said sacred Provision. The fundamental other general plan in the Constitution that can be recognized as a source to guarantee TCEs is Article 51 A (f) of the Constitution. It is the basic commitment of every occupant of India "to regard and spare the rich legacy of our composite culture." Besides, considering the unprecedented social character of the tribal

people in India, the Constitution imagines remarkable affirmation of the indigenous gatherings. The zones where there are quite recently tribal gatherings, as per Article 371 read with the Schedule VI of the Constitution, are permitted to have confine Autonomous Councils for self-organization according to their standard laws. In any case, take note of that India is the primary country on the planet to have set up an institutional framework - the TKDL - to guarantee its TK. The TKDL enables affect and almost without cost cancelation or withdrawal of patent applications relating to India's TK. To date the TKDL has engaged the cancelation or withdrawal of incalculable applications attempting to claim rights over the use of various remedial plants. India's TKDL is an exceptional mechanical assembly that accept an essential part in guaranteeing the country's customary data.

The examples inspected in this prescribe three potentially covering circumstances for the future protection approach of TK:

- (1) Continuing and in addition extended reliance on existing technique for real security for TK
- (2) Progression of non-uniform, country specific (or nearby specific) suggests for securing TK and
- (3) Change of all around arranged approaches to manage the security of TK.

While there have been gigantic talks and attempts towards mixed methodologies at the overall level, the progress has been direct and it is not hard to envision a circumstance where exchanges in regards to the matter independent. The last might be achieved by a

collection of factors, for instance, made country moving or enlarging contrasts among making countries. There might in like manner be an affirmation among making countries that the financial stakes are not too high as they had been convinced. This would not piece jumps forward at national and commonplace levels; it just prescribes that multilateral game plans may never be proficient. The change of new educates and advancements, for instance, bioinformatics, genomics, proteomics and built science may meanwhile debilitate a part of the thought on the usage of TK for pharmaceutical R&D, by empowering the alternative use of information mechanical assemblies to make and model new things. Meanwhile, the establishment of a widespread organization on access to inherited resources and favourable position sharing inside the CBD structure, if productive at COP 10, may perhaps chemically influence courses of action towards multilateral frameworks concentrating on the confirmation of TK.

Today,indigenous people groups respect the assurance of conventional learning as an issue of self-assurance. For different nations, with a less deplorable pioneer history, the issue of who controls the security and preservation of conventional information may be less politicized.

Suggestions

As distinguished before, the present courses of action for ensuring licensed innovation rights are lacking to secure Indigenous proficiencies. With critical difficulties for example, environmental change ahead, a national administrative administration is desperately required to empower the fullest conceivable security for Indigenous

proficiencies. A national administrative administration system for the assurance of Indigenous people groups in a changing atmosphere will require:

Firstly, it is imperative that comprehend that countries vary in their interests and concerns pertaining to TK. Therefore, their arrangements may vary based on diverse postulations and varied ideological perspectives concerning TK and genetic resources.

Secondly, urgent as it is to react to the loss of TK, contriving workable measures and accomplishing accord on their appropriation will take quite a while given the multifaceted nature of the issue, the stakes included and the clashing enthusiasm of the different "stakes holders".

Thirdly, avoid or debilitate ensured dialogues on the immaterialness of existing IPR to TK, advancements and social works and expressions, and on the "need" to characterized TK and innovations first before arrangements might be planned.

Fourthly, Conduct studies to gauge the cost of executing recommendations or measures to ensure TK and hereditary assets and measure these against the advantages that can reasonably be picked up before choosing effectively seek after them in worldwide discussions.

Fifthly, ensure that national arrangements and multilateral-level arranging positions and systems are predictable, cognizant and commonly supporting.

Sixthly, encourage the dynamic investment of TK and Genetic asset holders and customary groups in both the detailing of national approaches and of multilateral

arranging positions. Place the enthusiasm of indigenous people groups and customary groups at the focal point of all arranging systems on TK and hereditary assets. Lastly, by way of devising proper authoritative system which is inclusive of national standards for the engagement and national standards for security.

References:

Books

1. Anand Mohan Bhattarai, *Protection of Himalayan Biodiversity International Environment Law and a Regional Legal Framework* (SAGE Publication India Private Limited, New Delhi, 2010).
2. A. Rajanikanth, "Protection of Biogeoresources", Mu. Ramkumar and A. Jayakumar (eds.), *Intellectual Property Rights Demystified* (New India Publishing Agency, New Delhi, 2008).
3. Antonina BakarrdjievaEngelbrekt, "The WTO dispute settlement system and the evolution of international IP law: an institutional perspective", Anette Kur and Marianne Levin (eds.), *Intellectual Property Rights in a Fair World Trade System: Proposals for Reform of TRIPS* (Edward Elgar Publishing Limited, Cheltenham, 2011).
4. BiswajitDhar, SachinChaturvedi, et.al, *Regime of Intellectual Property Protection for Biodiversity: A Developing Country Prespective*(IUCN, New Delhi, 2001).
5. Christine Godt, "Research Tools: Patent and the information Market in the Knowledge Based Economy", Inge Govaere and Hanns Ullrich (eds.), *Intellectual Property, Market Power and the Public Interest* (P.I.E. Peter Lang, Brussels, 2008).
6. Coenraad J. Visser, "Making Intellectual Property laws Work for Traditional Knowledge", J. Michael Finger and Philip Schuler (eds.), *Poor People's Knowledge: Promoting Intellectual Property in Developing Countries* (Oxford university press, Washington, 2004).
7. Daniel Robinson, "Sui generis System for plant variety protection and traditional knowledge", Ricardo Melendez-Ortiz and Pedro Roffe (eds.), *Intellectual property and Sustainable Development: Development Agendas in a Changing World 2*(Edward Elgar Publishing limited, Cheltenham, 2009).
8. Graham Dutield and Uma Suthersanen, *Global Intellectual Property Law* (Edward Elgar Publishing Limited, Cheltenham, 2008).
9. G.B. Reddy, *Intellectual Property Rights and the Law* (Gogia Law Agency, Hyderabad, 10thedn, 2015).
10. Hiroko Yamane, *Interpreting TRIPS: Globalisation of Intellectual property Rights and Access to Medicines* (Hart Publishing Ltd, Oxford, 2010).
11. JakkritKuanpoth, *Patent Rights in Pharmaceuticals in Developing Countries: major Challenges for the Future* 46 (Edward Elgar Publishing limited, Cheltenham, 2010).
12. Matthew Rimmer, *Intellectual Property and Climate Change: Inventing Clean Technologies* (Edward Elgar Publishing Limited, Cheltenham, 2011).
13. Martin Khor, *Intellectual Property, Biodiversity and Sustainable Development: Resolving the Difficult Issues* (Zed Books London & New York, London, 2002).
14. Philippe Cullet, ChristophenGermann, et.al. "Intellectual Property Rights, Plant Genetic Resources and Traditional Knowledge", in S. Biber-Klemm and T.

- Cottier (eds.), *Rights to Plant Genetic Resources and Traditional Knowledge: Basic Issues and Perspectives* (CABI, Oxfordshire, 2006).
15. S.R. Myneni, *Law of Intellectual Property* (Asia Law House, Hyderabad, 7thedn, 2014).
 16. Silke Von Lewinski, *Indigenous Heritage and Intellectual Property: Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Folklore*(Kluwer Law International Publications, Hague, 2003).
 17. Sudhanshu Gupta, *Intellectual Property Rights and Conservation of Forest Resources* (International Book Distributors, Dehra Dun, 2005).
 18. V.K. Ahuja, *Law Relating to Intellectual Property Rights* (Lexis Nexis, Gurgaon, 2ndedn, 2013).

Journal

1. Adani Lokho, “*The Folk Medicinal Plants of the Mao Naga in Manipur: North East India*” 2 IJSRP 1 (2012).
2. Ashish Kothari, R.V. Anuradh, “Biodiversity, Intellectual Property Rights and GATT Agreement: How to Address the Conflicts?” 32 EPW2814 (1997).
3. BharatiAvinash Kumar, “Some Ethno Veterinary Plant Records for Sikkim Himalaya” 9 IJTK 344 (2010).
4. J. Maheswari, “*Patenting India Medicinal Plants and Products*” 4 IJST 298 (2011).
5. K. Venkataraman, “Indian’s Biodiversity Act 2002 and its role in Conservation” 50 ISTE 24 (2009).
6. Krishna Prasad Oli and Tara Devi Dhakal, “*Traditional Knowledge in the HimalayanRegion*” 8 ICIMOD 5 (2008).
7. Laurance R. Helfer, “*IPR and the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for the Food and Agriculture*” 97 ASIL 33 (2003).
8. Louis Evans, Harry Scott, Kado Muir, et.al. “Effective Intellectual Property Protection of Traditional Knowledge of Plants and their uses: An Example from Australia” 74 GeoJournal 391 (2009).
9. Manoj Kumar and Sadual, “*Bio-piracy and Traditional Knowledge: A Discussion on Indian Legal Perspectives*” 1 IRJIMS 13 (2015).
10. NeelotpalDeka, “*Traditional Knowledge in North-East India: Scope for a Sui generis protection*”3 IMDJ 93 (2014).
11. Ranbir Chanda, J.P. Mohanty, N.R. Bhuyan, et.al., “Medicinal Plants used against Gastrointestinal tract disorders by the Traditional Healers of Sikkim Himalayas” 6 IJTK 606 (2007).
12. S.K. Tripathi, “Intellectual property and Genetic resources, Traditional knowledge and Folklore: International, regional and national Perspectives, trends and strategies” 8 JIPR 468 (2003).

13. Shawn N. Sullivan, "Plant Genetic Resources and the Law: Past, Present and Future" 135 ASPB (2004).
14. Usha Lachungpa, "Indigenous Lifestyles and Biodiversity Conservation Issues in North Sikkim" 8 IJTK 53 (2009).
15. Vandana Shiva, "Farmer's Rights, Biodiversity and International Treaties" 28 EPW 555 (1993).

Websites

1. Mugabe J. 1998. Intellectual Property Protection and Traditional Knowledge: An Exploration in International Policy Discourse, [Available at, http://www.wipo.int/tk/en/hr/panel_discussion/papers/pdf/mugabe.pdf. Accessed on May 9, 2016].
2. Traditional Knowledge, [Available at, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Traditional_Knowledge. Accessed on June 17, 2016].
3. Intellectual Property and Traditional Knowledge, [Available at, http://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/tk/920/wipo_pub_920.pdf. Accessed on June 17, 2016].
4. Shrikant Kamat, *Trade Secrets Protection in India- A Law whose time has finally come*, 2015, available at: <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/trade-secrets-protection-india-law-whose-time-has-finally-kamat>. (last visited on October 23, 2016).
5. Traditional Knowledge Digital Library, Ministry of AYUSH, available at www.ayush.gov.in/traditional-knowledge-digital-library. (latest visited September 23, 2016).
6. Manali Sa, *Brief Notes on the Forest Conservation Act of 1980*, available at: <http://www.publishyourarticles.net/knowledge-hub/environmental-studies/brief-notes-on-the-forest-conservation-act-of-1980>. (last visited 17 December, 2016).
7. The Wildlife (Protection) Act 1972: An appraisal, available at: www.legalserviceindia.com/article/wlife.htm. (last visited on 17 January, 2017).
8. Ashish Kothari, *Draft National Environment Policy 2004: A Critique*, Economic and Political Weekly vol. 39, No. 43, available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4415711> (last visited at 21 December, 2016).
9. WIPO Magazine, *What place for customary law in protecting traditional knowledge?* August 2010. Available at: www.wipo.int/wipo_magazine/en/2010/04/article_0007.html. (last visited on 29 July 2016).
10. Customary Law, Traditional Knowledge and Intellectual Property: An Outline of the Issues, WIPO 2013. Available at: www.wipo.int/overview_customary_law. (last visit on August 13, 2016).
11. Convention on Biological Diversity 1992, Traditional Knowledge, Innovations and Practices, available at, <https://www.cbd.int/traditional/>. (last visited on December 29, 2016).

Acts/ Convention/ Agreement

1. The Geographical Indications of Goods (Registration and Protection) Act, 1999 (Act of 1999).
2. The Biological Diversity Act, 2002 (Act of 2002).
3. The Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmer's Rights Act, 2001 (Act of 2001).
4. The Indian Patent Act, 1970 (Act of 1970).
5. Convention of Biological Diversity, 1992.
6. International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, 2001.
7. Agreement on Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights, 1994.
8. The Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1980.
9. The Forest (Conservation) Act, 1986.
10. The Panchayats (extension to the scheduled Areas) Act 1996.
11. The Constitution of India 1950.